Response to Queries:

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| 1. | General. | Consortium | Consortium may please be allowed to make the bid more competitive. | As per RFP |
| 2. | Volume I Section 5.4.2 RFP Document Fees Sr No. b Page No. 17 | mentioned above, shall be permitted to take part in the deliberations during Pre Bid Meeting to be held as per the Schedule of Bid process | Central PSU may be allowed to take part in Pre-bid meeting without the purchase of RFP document and also permit to enclose the RFP Document fees along with the Bid submission | As per RFP |
| 3. | Volume I Section 5.4.2 RFP Document Fees Sr No. b Page No. 17 | Only those prospective bidders, who will submit the RFP document fees as mentioned above, shall be permitted to take part in the deliberations during Pre Bid Meeting to be held as per the Schedule of Bid process | Please confirm whether the queries of those bidders be considered, who have downloaded the tender through website and will be submitting the tender document fee along with the bid | As per RFP |
| 4. | Volume I Section 6.1 Prequalification Criteria Sr No. 5 Page No. 22 | The Net Worth of the responding firm must be positive as per the last three financial year's audited Balance Sheet. | Central PSUs may be exempted from Net worth Clause. | As per RFP |
| 5. | Volume I Section 6.1 Prequalification Criteria Sr No. 7 Page No. 22 | The responding firm should be minimum CMMi Level 3. | This clause may be allowed to be met by any member of the consortium. | As per RFP |
| 6. | Volume I Section 6.1 Prequalification Criteria Sr No. 8 Page No. 22 | Average Annual Sales Turnover generated from services related to System Integration (SI) during the last three (3) financial years (FY 11-12, FY 10- 11 and FY 09-10) as per the last published balance sheets of INR 50 | Request for Change in Clause : Average Annual Sales Turnover generated from IT System Integration (SI) during the last three (3) financial years (FY 11-12, FY 10-11 and FY 09-10) as per the last published balance sheets of INR 50 Crores or more. | As per RFP |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Crores or more. Volume 1 Section 6.1 The responding firm must have a This clause may be allowed to be met by any member of Prequalification Criteria Sr minimum number of IT Staff of the consortium No. 10 Page No. 23 technically gualified personnel in the 7. As per RFP domain of systems integration, as on 31st March, 2012 on its roll. 6.2 For meeting the requirements, the capabilities and Technical Qualification Criteria. Volume Section resources of consortium may please be allowed. 8. Technical Qualification As per RFP Criteria Page No. 23-37 I. If a bidder withdraws its bid during the I. If a bidder withdraws its bid during the period of bid 5.4.3 Earnest Money Deposit bid (EMD) Page 17 of period validity. validity. II. In case of a successful bidder, if the II. In case of a successful bidder, if the bidder fails to sign As per RFP 9. bidder fails to sign the contract in the mutually agreed contract in accordance with this RFP or as per Lol issued. accordance with this RFP or as per Lol issued. 6.3 III. The bid price will include all taxes and Commercial Bid Bidder suggest for revision as: Evaluation; Page 37 levies and shall be in Indian Rupees and III. The bid price will exclude all taxes and levies and shall As per RFP 10. mentioned separately. be in Indian Rupees. I. The Punjab State e-Governance 7.5 Performance Guarantee Bidder suggest: Society will require the selected bidder I. The Punjab State e-Governance Society will require the selected bidder to provide a Performance Bank to provide a Performance Bank Guarantee, within 15 days from the Guarantee, within 15 days from the Notification of award, Notification of award, for a value for a value equivalent to 10% of the total cost of Contract. 11. As per RFP equivalent to 10% of the total cost of The Performance Guarantee should be valid for the Contract. The Performance Guarantee stipulated period of the project plus 90 days. The should be valid for the stipulated period Performance Guarantee shall be kept valid till completion of the project plus 90 days. The of the project and Warranty period. The Performance

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|----------|
| | | Performance Guarantee shall be kept | Guarantee shall contain a claim period of three months | |
| | | valid till completion of the project and | from the last date of validity. The selected bidder shall be | |
| | | Warranty period. The Performance | responsible for extending the validity date and claim | |
| | | Guarantee shall contain a claim period | period of the Performance Guarantee as and when it is | |
| | | of three months from the last date of | due on account of non-completion of the project and | |
| | | validity. The selected bidder shall be | Warranty period. In case the selected bidder fails to | |
| | | responsible for extending the validity | submit performance guarantee within the time stipulated, | |
| | | date and claim period of the | the Punjab State e-Governance Society at its discretion | |
| | | Performance Guarantee as and when it | may cancel the order placed on the selected bidder | |
| | | is due on account of non-completion of | without giving any notice. Punjab State e-Governance | |
| | | the project and Warranty period. In case | Society shall invoke the performance guarantee in case | |
| | | the selected bidder fails to submit | the selected Vendor for reasons solely and entirely | |
| | | performance guarantee within the time | attributable to it fails to discharge their material | |
| | | stipulated, the Punjab State e- | contractual obligations during the period or Punjab State | |
| | | Governance Society at its discretion may | e-Governance Society incurs any loss due to SI's gross | |
| | | cancel the order placed on the selected | negligence in carrying out the project implementation as | |
| | | bidder without giving any notice. Punjab | per the agreed terms & conditions. | |
| | | State e-Governance Society shall invoke | | |
| | | the performance guarantee in case the | | |
| | | selected Vendor fails to discharge their | | |
| | | contractual obligations during the period | | |
| | | or Punjab State e-Governance Society | | |
| | | incurs any loss due to SI's negligence in | | |
| | | carrying out the project implementation | | |
| | | as per the agreed terms & conditions. | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|------------|
| 12. | 7.6 Signing of Contract; Page 39 | II. Draft MSA document (RFP Volume III) provided as separate document is for the reference of the Bidder only. The agreement with the selected bidder will be signed after getting the same vetted from competent legal Authority. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: II. Draft MSA document (RFP Volume III) provided as separate document is for the reference of the Bidder only. The agreement with the selected bidder will be signed after getting the same vetted from competent legal Authority. Punjab State e-Governance Society and the Bidder shall enter into mutually agreed Contract which shall include the suggestions and comments of the Bidder to the draft MSA document. | As per RFP |
| 13. | 7.7 Sub-Contracting; Page 39 | For items such as Site Preparation, Data digitization/migration, Capacity building (Training personnel) and Application roll out the bidder may work with partner. The bidder, strictly, cannot sub-contract the core activities of the implementation such as Hardware & IT infrastructure implementation. The bidder should not subcontract more than 50 % of the value of the contract and shall not allow a sub- contractor to assign or enter into further secondary subcontract for any of the work to be carried out by the sub- contractor. However the bidder can subcontract non-core activities as mentioned above to companies fulfilling the following conditions: | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: For items such as Site Preparation, Data digitization/migration, Capacity building (Training personnel) and Application roll out the bidder may work with partner. The bidder, strictly, cannot sub-contract the core activities of the implementation such as Hardware & IT infrastructure implementation without consent of the Punjab State e-Governance Society, which consent shall not be unreasonably delayed or withheld. The bidder should not subcontract more than 50 % of the value of the contract and shall not allow a sub-contractor to assign or enter into further secondary subcontract for any of the work to be carried out by the sub-contractor. However the bidder can subcontract non-core activities as mentioned above to companies fulfilling the following conditions: | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|------------|
| 14. | 7.8 Failure to Agree with the Terms and Conditions of the RFP; Page 39 | I. Failure of the successful bidder to agree with the Draft Legal Agreement and Terms & Conditions of the RFP shall constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, in which event Punjab State e-Governance Society may call for new proposals from the interested bidders. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: I. Failure of the successful bidder to agree with the Draft Legal Agreement and Terms & Conditions of the RFP read with the suggestions and comments of the successful bidder as contained in its proposal shall constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, in which event Punjab State e-Governance Society may call for new proposals from the interested bidders. | As per RFP |
| 15. | Form 5:Letter of Proposal; Page 53 | We agree to abide by all the terms and conditions of the RFP document. We would hold the terms of our bid valid for 180 days as stipulated in the RFP document. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: We agree to abide by all the terms and conditions of the RFP document read with the suggestions and comments as contained in our Proposal. We would hold the terms of our bid valid for 180 days as stipulated in the RFP document. | As per RFP |
| 16. | Form 15: Undertaking on Patent Rights; Page 66 | 2. I/We also confirm that there shall be no infringement of any patent or intellectual and industrial property rights as per the applicable laws of relevant jurisdictions having requisite competence, in respect of the equipment's, systems or any part thereof to be supplied by us. We shall indemnify Punjab State e-Governance Society against all cost/claims/legal claims/liabilities arising from third party claim in this regard at any time on account of the infringement or | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: 2. I/We also confirm that there shall be no infringement of any patent or intellectual and industrial property rights as per the applicable laws of relevant jurisdictions having requisite competence, in respect of the equipment's, systems or any part thereof to be supplied by us. We shall indemnify and defend Punjab State e-Governance Society against all cost/claims/legal claims/liabilities arising from third party claim in this regard at any time on account of the infringement or unauthorized use of patent or intellectual and industrial property rights of any such parties, whether such claims arise in respect of manufacture or use. Without prejudice to the aforesaid | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|----------|
| | | unauthorized use of patent or | indemnity, the SI shall be responsible for the completion | |
| | | intellectual and industrial property rights | of the other supplies not subject to such infringement or | |
| | | of any such parties, whether such claims | unauthorized use including spares and uninterrupted use | |
| | | arise in respect of manufacture or use. | of the equipment and/or system or any part thereof to | |
| | | Without prejudice to the aforesaid | Punjab State e-Governance Society and persons | |
| | | indemnity, the SI shall be responsible for | authorized by Punjab State e-Governance Society, | |
| | | the completion of the supplies including | irrespective of the fact of claims of infringement of any or | |
| | | spares and uninterrupted use of the | all the rights mentioned above. The SI shall have no | |
| | | equipment and/or system or any part | liability or obligation with respect to any such | |
| | | thereof to Punjab State e-Governance | infringement or unauthorized use to the extent that such | |
| | | Society and persons authorized by | infringement or unauthorized use results from: (i) SI's | |
| | | Punjab State e-Governance Society, | compliance with Punjab State e- Governance Society's | |
| | | irrespective of the fact of claims of | specific technical designs, specifications, requirements or | |
| | | infringement of any or all the rights | instructions; (ii) inclusion or use of any content or other | |
| | | mentioned above. | materials provided by Punjab State e- Governance Society | |
| | | | and the infringement relates to or arises from such | |
| | | | content or materials; (iii) modification of any material | |
| | | | provided by the Slif such modification was not made by or | |
| | | | on behalf of the SI; (iv) operation or use of the materials | |
| | | | provided by the SIwith materials not provided by the SI; or | |
| | | | (v) use of the materials provided by the SI for any | |
| | | | purposes for which the same have not been designed or | |
| | | | developed or other than in accordance with any | |
| | | | applicable specifications or documentation; or (vi) use of a | |
| | | | superseded or altered release of some or all of the | |
| | | | material provided by the Supplier furnished under the | |
| | | | Agreement including, but not limited to, Punjab State e- | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| | | | Governance Society's failure to use corrections, fixes, or | |
| | | | enhancements made available by the SI. | |
| | Form18: Undertaking on | 4. We also understand that the | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: | |
| | Deliverables; Page 70 | acceptance, approval and sign-off of the | 4. We also understand that the acceptance, approval and | |
| | | deliverables by Punjab State e- | sign-off of the deliverables by Punjab State e-Governance | |
| | | Governance Society will be done on the | Society will be done on the advice of PSeGS and any other | |
| | | advice of PSeGS and any other agency | independent agency which is not our competitor | |
| | | appointed by the PSeGS for the same. | appointed by the PSeGS for the same. We understand that | |
| 17. | | We understand that while all efforts | while all efforts shall be made to accept and convey the | As per RFP |
| 17. | | shall be made to accept and convey the | acceptance of each deliverable in accordance with the | |
| | | acceptance of each deliverable in | Project schedule, no deliverable will be considered | |
| | | accordance with the Project schedule, | accepted until a specific written communication to that | |
| | | no deliverable will be considered | effect is made by Punjab State e-Governance Society | |
| | | accepted until a specific written | unless otherwise specified in the Agreement. | |
| | | communication to that effect is made by | | |
| | | Punjab State e-Governance Society. | | |
| | Form 19: Undertaking on | 1. I/We understand that the System | 1. I/We understand that the System (including the | |
| | Support to Third Party | (including the application and the | application and the associated IT systems) may be | |
| | Solution acceptance and | associated IT systems) may be assessed | assessed and certified by an independent3rd party agency | |
| 18. | certification; Page 71 | and certified by a 3rd party agency (to | (to be identified by Punjab State e-Governance Society | As per RFP |
| | | be identified by Punjab State e- | which is not our competitor) before the system is | |
| | | Governance Society) before the system | commissioned. | |
| | | is commissioned. | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| 19. | Form 21: Undertaking on Exit Management and Transition; Page 72, 73 | 1. I/We hereby undertake that at the time of completion of our engagement with the PSeGS, either at the End of Contract or termination of Contract before planned Contract Period for any reason, we shall successfully carry out the exit management and transition of this Project to the Punjab State e-Governance Society or to an agency identified by Punjab State e-Governance Society to the satisfaction of the PSeGS. 2. I/We further undertake to complete the following as part of the Exit management and transition: 3. I/We also understand that the Exit management and transition will be considered complete on the basis of approval from Punjab State e-Governance Society. | of our engagement with the PSeGS, either at the End of Contract or termination of Contract before planned Contract Period for any reason, we shall successfully carry out the exit management and transition of this Project to the Punjab State e-Governance Society or to an agency identified by Punjab State e-Governance Society in accordance with the mutually agreed Exit Management Plan. 2. I/We further undertake at Punjab State e-Governance Society's cost to complete the following as part of the Exit management and transition: 3. I/We also understand that the Exit management and transition will be considered complete on the basis of approval from Punjab State e-Governance Society in | As per RFP |
| 20. | ANNEXURE II: COMMERCIAL/FINANCIAL PROPOSAL TEMPLATE | We hereby confirm that our prices include all taxes. However, all the taxes are quoted separately under relevant sections. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under:1.PRICEANDVALIDITYWe hereby confirm that our prices exclude all taxes. | As per RFP |
| 21. | Common | Go-Live will be made in 4 phases, 5 years support period will be calculated from the end of 4th phase? | Kindly Clarify in detail. | O & M phase shall commence from the valid Go- Live of 4th Phase |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Vol-1, 8 Terms of Payment Definition of Go-Live Kindly guide, Why go-live is tagged with the monthly 22. As per RFP schedule transaction? Vol I, page #40 Clause No. 8 Terms of Payment Schedule I) We suggest that payment terms should be revised. We expect that 100% CAPEX payment should be payable on or before GO-LIVE. II) Total Fee payment should be recoverable within the As per RFP 23. contract term with the last guarterly payment. For Exit Management period PBG submitted should be taken into consideration which is valid for a period of around 6 months beyond contract expiry. Vol I, page #41,42 Clause No. Successful Deliverv Targeted We suggest that Go Live should not be based on minimum of average transactions as transactions are not controllable 8.1.1 Transactions:-As per Corrigendum 24. by SI. Kindly change it to following: "Should have been operating Vol I, Page 21 Clause 6.1, Should have been operating for the last Prequalification for the last five years." 25. Criteria five years (FY 11-12, FY 10-11, FY 09-10, As per RFP Point 2 (c) FY 08-09 & FY 07-08) Vol I, Page 22 Clause 6.1, The responding firm should be minimum Kindly Change it to following: The responding firm should Prequalification CMMi Level 3. be CMMi Level 5. Criteria 26. As per RFP Point 7 Vol I, Page 22 Clause 6.1, Sales Kindly Change it to following : Average Annual Sales Turnover Average Annual Pregualification Turnover generated from services related to System Criteria generated from services related to Point 8 System Integration (SI) during the last Integration (SI) during the last five (5) financial years as 27. three (3) financial years (FY 11-12, FY 10per the last published balance sheets of INR 300 Crores or As per RFP 11 and FY 09-10) as per the last more published balance sheets of INR 50 Crores or more

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------|
| 28. | Vol I, Page 22 Clause 6.1, Prequalification Criteria Point 9 | Company experience in implementation of integrated turnkey projects around application development as a System Integrator in India. | Kindly change it to following: The Bidder (System Integrator) must have a proven track record of providing a successful "Turnkey Solution" for at least five (5) IT- projects in the last five years. At least one of the 5 quoted projects should be an integrated turnkey project of a value of INR 100 crores or above. | As per RFP |
| 29. | Vol I, Page 23,Clause 6.1, Prequalification Criteria Point 10 | The responding firm must have a minimum number of 100 IT Staff of technically qualified personnel in the domain of systems integration, as on 31st March, 2012 on its roll. | Kindly revise 100 IT staff to Minimum Permanent IT staff of 50000. | As per RFP |
| 30. | | award the Contract to the successful bidder whose Commercial proposal is | In the interest of the project, we request you to kindly revise the award criteria. Kindly revise it to QCBS method. A Techno commercial evaluation of Bidders will be done with 70% weightage for technical and 30 % on Commercials. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|--------------------|
| 31. | Section No 8.1.1; Page No 40 | average number of monthly transactions actually happened during last five (5) years as on 30/11/2012, for that particular service, across the State. The "Average monthly transactions" will be calculated at the end each month after completion of first three months of Roll out of that particular service. d) If the average monthly transaction achieved (as per above 'c') is less than the targeted transaction (as per 'b' above), the same calculation shall be made further at each subsequent month | 2. Does the state expect to achieve a higher average monthly transaction through than last 5 year's average via new system in the beginning. This is very unrealistic for a new software rollout. 3. The average term adds a minimum of 90 days and max of unknown days to payment realization of an already meager percentage for the SI. 4. The term of Go live is far harsher than the one | As per Corrigendum |
| 32. | Clause 4; Page 7 | The Punjab State e-Governance Society reserves the right to extend the Term for a period of 1 year with a maximum of 5 such extensions on the same terms and conditions. | We suggest to extend the terms on REVISED TERMS and conditions as per the future conditions | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| | Clause 8; Page 40 | I. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the | Request you to kindly replan the Payment milestone in to | |
| | | Districts of State of Punjab (Phase I) 10 | the proposed one: | |
| | | % | I. 12 % | |
| | | II. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in | II. 12 % | |
| | | all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase | III. 12 % | |
| | | II) 10 % | IV. 12 % | |
| | | III. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in | V. 12 % | |
| | | all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase | VI. 35 % | |
| | | III) 10 % | VII. 5 % | |
| 33. | | IV. STQC Certification of Modified e- | | As per RFP |
| | | District Application as per Scope of Work | | |
| | | 10 % | | |
| | | V. Go-Live of Modified e-District as per | | |
| | | Scope of work (Phase IV) 10 % | | |
| | | VI. Operations and Maintenance Phase | | |
| | | (5 years) | | |
| | | To be paid quarterly (2.1 % per quarter) | | |
| | | for 60 months (20 Quarters) 42 % | | |
| | | VII. Successful Exit Management 8 % | | |
| | 6.1.6 Prequalification Criteria | The responding firm should be ISO | Kindly change the same to ISO 9001:2008 as ISO 9000 is | |
| 34. | | 9000:2008 certified. | the name of the family for quality management | As per RFP |
| | | | certificates | |
| 35. | General Queries related to | | Whether projects with Site Acceptance will be | As per RFP |
| 55. | Prequalification Criteria | | considered? | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------|
| | 6.2 Technical Evalution | At least THREE completed (Fully | Kindly change the marks awarded based as below: | |
| | Criteria Page 24 | Completed or Made Go-Live but in | Slab | |
| | | O&M phase) Software Application | More than 4 Projects | |
| | | Development projects, having a | 3 to 4 Projects | |
| | | minimum value of INR 1.50 crore each | 2 to 3 Projects | |
| | | for software development component | | |
| | | only). The project should have some | | |
| | | or all of the following components : | | |
| 36. | | – Portal | | As per RFP |
| | | – Web based Application Server | | |
| | | – Electronic Forms | | |
| | | Marks would be awarded based as below :- | | |
| | | as below :- Slab | | |
| | | More than 6 Projects | | |
| | | 5 to 6 Projects | | |
| | | 3 to 4 Projects | | |
| | | | | |
| | 6.2 Technical Evalution | Experience in providing training to | Modify the same as: | |
| | Criteria Page 27 | minimum 2000 employees under each | Experience in providing training to minimum 500 | |
| | | multi-locational IT implementation | employees under each multi-locational IT implementation | |
| | | project. | project. | |
| 37. | | Marks would be awarded based as | Marks would be awarded based as below :- | As per RFP |
| | | below :- | Slab | |
| | | Slab | More than 3 | |
| | | More than 4 | 2 to 3 Projects | |
| | | 3 to 4 Projects 1 to 2 Projects | 1 to 2 Projects | |
| | | I IU Z PIUJECIS | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------|
| | 6.2 Technical Evalution | | Can this be modified as : | |
| | Criteria Page 27 | | Experience in Data Digitization with minimum 25 lakhs | |
| | | | ecord in each IT implementation project. | |
| | | | Marks would be awarded based as below :- | |
| 38. | | | Slab | As per RFP |
| | | | More than 3 | |
| | | More than 4 2 | | |
| | | - | L to 2 Projects | |
| | 6.2 Technical Evalution | 1 to 2 Projects | Disease allow one president with grapter value and rear | |
| | | | Please allow one project with greater value and more | |
| | Criteria Page 35 Point h | • | ocations as well Project value of INR 10 Crore each. | |
| | | | Marks would be awarded based as below :- Slab | |
| | | - | More than 30 | |
| | | Average marks would be 20 | | |
| | | awarded based on the following marks 1 | | |
| | | awarding criteria (i.e. Marks in Project1 5 | | |
| 39. | | | Less Than 5 | As per RFP |
| | | Marks would be awarded based as | | |
| | | below :- | | |
| | | Slab | | |
| | | More than 18 | | |
| | | 16 to 18 Locations | | |
| | | 11 to 15 Locations | | |
| | | 6 to 10 Locations | | |
| | | Less Than 6 | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| 40. | 6.2 Technical Evalution Criteria Page 35 Point 4e General Queries related to | Technical Support Lead to be placed in each District 20 CV's to be shared | Can CV for technical support lead be provided once project is awarded Please consider overall marking for each CV and clarify | As per RFP |
| 41. | Technical Evaluation Criteria Manpower - Vol I | | whether certificates asked for , have to be provided along with the CV | On demand |
| 42. | Pilot E District Status (Page no 12) | 25 more services are under trial run and shall be made live shortly. The rest of the services shall be made live during the month of January, 2013 | Request to provide the updated/current status on number of services Go live. | Go-Live -17 services Under Trial run - 29 services |
| 43. | 5.6.3 Tender Evaluation(Page no 20) | Existing Implementing Agencies which do not get their eDistrict Application Software for their respective Pilot States/UTs certified by STQC will NOT be eligible to participate in the bidding process for that State / UT under the National Rollout of the Scheme | Request to please confirm on completion of STQC certification of Pilot E district application in State of Punjab. | In progress |
| 44. | 6.1 Pre-Qualification Criteria (page No 22) | The responding firm should be minimum CMMi Level 3. | Request to please change this to "The responding firm should be minimum CMMi Level 5 " | As per RFP |
| 45. | 7.7 Sub-Contracting(page no. 39) | The subcontractor must be ISO 9001 in IT services. (ISO 27001 and CMMi level 3 or above certification wherever relevant) | Please remove ISO 27001 and CMMi level 3 for subcontracting Firms | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|------------|
| | | PaymentMilestones1. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all theDistricts of State of Punjab (Phase I)-10%2. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services inall the Districts of State of Punjab (PhaseII)-10%3. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services inall the Districts of State of Punjab (PhaseIII)-10%4. STQC Certification of Modified e-District Application as per Scope ofWork-10%5. Go-Live of Modified e-District as per | Considering the significant investments envisaged by the bidder, we request to please amend the payment mile stones as follows:- Payment Milestones 1. Advance against submission of equivalent Bank Gurantee-10 %. 2. Completion of Delivery and Installation of Hardware & Commissioning of all activities related to site preparation at Data Centre and district offices20 % 4. Completion of Trainings/capacity building-5% 5. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase I)-5 % 6. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase II)-10 % 7. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in all the Districts of | As per RFP |
| | | | 11.SuccessfulExitManagement-5%12.100 % Payment for Data Digitisation, Data Migration,LAN cabling & Electrical workshall be paid on actuals onGo live of Phase 1. | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|----------------------|
| 47. | Section No 8.1.1; Page No 40 | average number of monthly transactions actually happened during last five (5) years as on 30/11/2012, for that particular service, across the State. d) If the average monthly transaction achieved (as per above 'c') is less than the targeted transaction (as per 'b' above), the same calculation shall be made further at each subsequent month end till the figure of targeted transaction is achieved The "Average monthly transactions" will be calculated at the end each month | openended.2. Does the state expect to achieve a higher average monthly transaction through than last 5 year's average via new system in the beginning. This is very unrealistic for a new software rollout.3. The average term adds a minimum of 90 days and max of unknown days to payment realization of an already meager percentage for the SI.4. The term of Go live is far harsher than the one | Refer to corrigendum |
| 48. | 6.2 Technical Qualification Criteria, pg 23 | Resource Deployment | Can these mandatory resources be sub-contracted? | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| | 7.7 Sub-Contracting, Pg 39 | For items such as Site Preparation, Data | Considering that the SI shall be responsible for the end to | |
| | | digitization/migration, Capacity building | end implementation of the project including management | |
| | | (Training personnel) and Application roll | of all sub-contracting. We request that the stated | |
| | | out the bidder may work with partner. | restriction on sub-contracting be relaxed. | |
| | | The bidder, strictly, cannot sub-contract | | |
| | | the core activities of the implementation | | |
| 49. | | such as Hardware & IT infrastructure | | As per RFP |
| 13. | | implementation. The bidder should not | | |
| | | subcontract more than 50 % of the value | | |
| | | of the contract and shall not allow a sub- | | |
| | | contractor to assign or enter into further | | |
| | | secondary subcontract for any of the | | |
| | | work to be carried out by the sub- | | |
| | | contractor. | | |
| | 8.1.1 Successful Delivery of | Targeted transaction volume for Go Live | Since the target transaction achievement will be | |
| 50. | Targeted Transactions, pg 40 | | dependent on factors beyond control SI. We would | As per RFP and Corrigendum |
| 50. | | | request that the successful testing and acceptance of the | |
| | | | application should be considered as 'Go Live' | |
| | Ref Doc: Volume I | Company experience in implementation | Request to dilute this clause as follows: | |
| 51. | | of integrated turnkey projects around | Company experience in implementation of integrated | As per RFP |
| | | application development as a System | turnkey projects around application development as a | |
| | | Integrator in India. | System Integrator in India/Overseas. | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| | Section 6.1 Sr.no.9, Page no. 22, 23 | (Specifically each project should have components of Hardware, Networking, Helpdesk and Application related | Hardware, Networking, Helpdesk and Application related | |
| | | training necessarily for this project). Number of such Assignments of value | Number of such Assignments of value which have gone | |
| 52. | | which have gone live in the past 5 years (FY 11-12, FY 10-11, FY 09-10, FY 08-09 | One 10 Crores Project OR | As per RFP |
| | | andFY07-08)·One20CroresProjectOR·Two10CroresProjectsOR | | |
| | | Three 8 Crores Project | | |
| | | Annexure I: Form 6: For details of | Annexure I: Form 6: For details of Experience of | |
| | | Experience of responding firm/ Project | responding firm/ Project Citation supported with Work | |
| 53. | | | order or Proof of Go-live/ Project completion | As per RFP |
| | | Proof of Go-live/ Project completion | certificates/Case study from client | |
| | | certificates from client | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------|
| | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 a, Page no. 24 | implementation of an integrated turnkey project around a) network solution and b) software development c) Hardware commissioning and d) O&M phase for maintaining all of the above. Average Project Value to be used for the | value (i.e. Total value of submitted eligible projects/ 3):- | |
| 54. | | (i.e. Total value of submitted eligible projects/ 3):- | > INR 5 Crore but less than or equal 10 Crore - 8 > INR 4 Crore but less than or equal 5 Crore - 6 > INR 2 Crore but less than or equal 4 Crore - 4 > INR 1 Crore but less than or equal 2 Crore - 2 | As per RFP |
| | | Crore–4> INR 4 Crore but less than or equal 8Crore–2> Less than or equal to INR 4 Crore – 0 | | |
| 55. | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 b, Page no. 24 | Whether the Firm has delivered hardware products in three projects in the last 3 years. | Whether the Firm has delivered hardware products in three projects in the last 5 years. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------|
| | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 b, Page | Average Hardware Installation Value to | Average Hardware Installation Value to be used for the 3 | |
| | no. 24, 25 | be used for the 3 projects. Following | projects. Following marks would be awarded based on the | |
| | | marks would be awarded based on the | average value (i.e. Total value of the submitted eligible | |
| | | average value (i.e. Total value of the | projects/ 3):- | |
| | | submitted eligible projects/ 3):- | Greater than or equal to INR 2 Crore – 5 | |
| | | Greater than or equal to INR 8 Crore – 5 | Greater than or equal to INR 1.5 Crore but less than INR 2 | |
| | | Greater than or equal to INR 6 Crore but | Crore – 4 | |
| 56. | | less than INR 8 Crore – 4 | Greater than or equal to INR 1 Crore but less than INR 1.5 | As per RFP |
| | | Greater than or equal to INR 4 Crore but | Crore – 3 | |
| | | less than INR 6 Crore – 3 | Greater than or equal to INR 0.8 Crore but less than INR 1 | |
| | | Greater than or equal to INR 2 Crore but | Crore – 2 | |
| | | less than INR 4 Crore – 2 | Greater than or equal to INR 0.5 Crore but less than INR | |
| | | Greater than or equal to INR 1 Crore but | 0.8 Crore – 1 | |
| | | less than INR 2 Crore – 1 | Less Than 0.5 Crore - 0 | |
| | | Less Than 1 Crore - 0 | | |
| | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 c, Page | At least THREE completed (Fully | At least THREE completed (Fully Completed or Made Go- | |
| | no. 25 | Completed or Made Go-Live but in O&M | Live but in O&M phase) Software Application | |
| | | phase) Software Application | Development projects, having a minimum value of INR | |
| | | Development projects, having a | 1.50 crore each for software development component | |
| | | minimum value of INR 1.50 crore each | only). The project should have some or all of the following | |
| 57. | | for software development component | components : | As per RFP |
| 571 | | only). The project should have some or | – Portal | |
| | | all of the following components : | | |
| | | – Portal | – Electronic Forms | |
| | | – Web based Application Server | - | |
| | | – Electronic Forms | 2 to 3 Projects – 4 | |
| | | More than 6 Projects – 5 | 1 to 2 Projects - 3 | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | | 5 to 6 Projects – 4 | | |
| | | 3 to 4 Projects - 3 | | |
| | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 d, Page | TWO projects in the field of IT Services | ONE projects in the field of IT Services where Maintenance | |
| | no. 25 | where Maintenance Services / Helpdesk | Services / Helpdesk Services have been provided in | |
| 58. | | Services have been provided in | minimum 6 different locations with a minimum Project | As per RFP |
| | | minimum 6 different locations with a | value of INR 1 Crore each. | |
| | | minimum Project value of INR 2 Crore | | |
| | | each. | | |
| | Section 6.2 Sr.no.1 f, Page | 1 8 8 | Experience in providing training to minimum 500 | |
| 59. | no. 27 | minimum 2000 employees under each | employees under each multi-locational IT implementation | As per RFP |
| | | multi-locational IT implementation | project. | |
| | | project. | | |
| | RFP Vol-I/6.2 Technical | 1. For all the above, the Completion | | |
| | Qualification Criteria /Page - | Certificate of the projects completed in | in which IT project experience required for 5 Projects in | |
| | 28 of 92 | the last 3 years (as on 30/11/2012) need | the last Five Years. | |
| 60. | | to be provided (issued to the responding | As such we understand that completion certificate of the | Refer to corrigendum |
| | | firm by the respective customers) | projects completed should be in the last Five Year instead | |
| | | | of 3 Years. This might be typographic error and should be | |
| | | | amended, Please confirm. | |
| | RFP Vol-I/6.2 Technical | , , | In this regard we understand that all projects which Fully | |
| | Qualification Criteria /Page - | Certificate of the projects completed in | Completed or Made Go-Live but in O&M phase will be | Completion Certificate of the projects |
| 61. | 28 of 92 | the last 3 years (as on 30/11/2012) need | considered for evaluation, please confirm. | completed in the last 3 years (as on |
| | | to be provided (issued to the responding | | 30/11/2012) need to be provided (issued to the |
| | | firm by the respective customers) | | responding firm by the respective customers) |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| 62. | RFP Vol-I/8.1.1 Successful Delivery of Targeted Transactions:- /Page No- 40 of 92 | v , | | Refer to corrigendum |
| 63. | RFP Vol-I/4.3. Scope of Services – Project Implementation Phase /Page No- 20 of 158 | efforts to ensure that any further | In this regard, kindly provide currency of contract of the pilot SI for the information of State SI for implementation purpose. | Currency of the Pilot SI is 3 years from the date of Go-Live |
| 64. | 6.5. Bill of Material | For each hardware mentionedMake and Model | We understand that bidder can quote more than one fully complied make and model as per tender requirement. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------|
| 65. | 6.1 Pre-Qualification Criteria Page no:21 | implementation of integratedturnkeyprprojectsaroundapplicationardevelopment as a System Integrator inWIndia.Co(Specifically each project should haveimcomponents ofHardware,Networking,Helpdesk andApplication related training necessarilyHaforthisproject).NumberofsuchAssignmentsofvalue which havegoneliveinthepast5years(FY11-12,FY10-11,O8-09 and FY 07-08)O1 | We have executed several large System Integration projects globally. We are also an empanelled SI in RAPDRP andUIDAIprograms.We request you to amend the clause as below: CompanyexperienceCompanyexperiencein mplementation of integratedturnkey projects toround application development as a System Integrator.Specifically each project should have components of Hardware, Networking,Helpdesk and Application | As per RFP |
| | | w | vith large programs such as RAPDRP, UIDAI etc. | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|----------------------|
| 66. | | average number of monthly transactions actually happened during last five (5) years as on 30/11/2012, for that particular service, across the State. d) If the average monthly transaction achieved (as per above 'c') is less than the targeted transaction (as per 'b' above), the same calculation shall be made further at each subsequent month end till the figure of targeted transaction is achieved The "Average monthly transactions" will be calculated at the end each month | same may be modified to a specific number of transactions such as "10 Transactions in at least 10 districts." 1. Since "Go-live" is contingent upon knowing targeted transaction per service, please publish the target numbers; without which the go live definition becomes open ended. 2. Does the state expect to achieve a higher average monthly transaction through than last 5 year's average via new system in the beginning. This is very unrealistic for a | Refer to corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|------------|
| 67. | Cl. 1 (iii) Introduction, pg. 5 | Substantially Responsive Bid Failure to furnish all information required as mentioned in the RFP documents or submission of a proposal not substantially responsive to the RFP documents in every respect will be at the Bidder's risk and may result in | Bidder submits that it should be allowed to submit deviations/ clarifications which may be negotiated upon post selection of Successful Bidder. | As per RFP |
| 68. | Volume I, Section 4.1, Pg 7 | rejection of the proposal. The Punjab State e-Governance Society reserves the right to extend the Term for a period of 1 year with a maximum of 5 such extensions on the same terms and conditions, subject to the Punjab State e-Governance Society obligations at law. | The renewal / extension should be based on Pricing and Other terms that are mutually agreed through negotiation before the expiry of the Contract. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|------------|
| 69. | Volume I, Section 8, Pg 40 and Volume III, Schedule - VI, Pg 55 | Terms of Payment Schedule: 1. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase I) : 10% 2. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase II): 10% 3. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase III): 10% 4. STQC Certification of Modified e- District Application as per Scope of Work: 10% 5. Go-Live of Modified e-District as per Scope of work (Phase IV): 10% 6. Operations and Maintenance Phase (5 years): 42% To be paid quarterly (2.1 % per quarter) for 60 months (20 Quarters) 7. Successful Exit Management: 8% | Request to amend the payment schedule for better Project Management and Cash flows. Proposed Payment Schedule: 1. Signing of Contract: 10% 2. Setting up of Data centre infrastructure: 10% 3. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase I) : 10% 4. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase II): 10% 5. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab (Phase III): 10% 6. STQC Certification of Modified e-District Application as per Scope of Work: 10% 7. Go-Live of Modified e-District as per Scope of work (Phase IV): 10% 8. Operations and Maintenance Phase (5 years): 30% To be paid quarterly (1.5 % per quarter) for 60 months (20 Quarters) | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| 70. | Volume I, Section 8.1, Pg 40 | DefinitionofGo-LiveGo-LiveoftheservicesincludessuccessfulDistrictReadinessforalloffices,i.e.Completion of all activitieslikePlacementofalltheAssociateProjectManager(Division),TechnicalSupportLeads(District),Sitepreparation,Networking,Commissioning of all Hardware,Training,DataDigitizationinall"Successfuldeliveryoftargetedtransactions" as explained below. | Proposed Definition of Go-Live Go-Live of the services includes successful District Readiness for all offices, i.e. Completion of all activities like Placement of all the Associate Project Manager (Division), Technical Support Leads (District), Site preparation, Networking, Commissioning of all Hardware, Training, Data Digitization in all the district | As Per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 71. | Volume I, Annexure II - Form 2 , Pg 78 - 84 and Volume III, Annexure D, Pg 61 - 63 | Form 2: Commercial/Financial Proposal and Annexure – D - Financial Bid Response | Please clarify the Commercial format to be used for Commercial Proposal as Form 2 in Volume I and Annexure D in Volume III are different formats. | BOM of Volume I needs to be used as Vol III is draft Agreement and as the sizing needs to be done by the SI the final BOM will be updated in the Contract |
| 72. | 5.4.3 Earnest Money Deposit(EMD) | Bidders shall submit, along with their Bids, EMD of INR 1,00,00,000/- only, in the form of a Demand Draft OR Bank Guarantee (in the format specified in Annexure I: Form 3) issued by any Scheduled bank in favor of Punjab State e-Governance Society, payable at Chandigarh, and should be valid for 6 months from the due date of the tender / RFP. | Considering general bidding trends in central and various other state govt projects, EMD of Rs. One Crore is very high request to lower this amount to Rs.50 lacs for wide competition participation | As per RFP |

S. **RFP Document (Section &** Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. 6.1 Pre- Qualification Criteria The responding firm should be ISO Is it mandatory, what to do in case certification is in 73. As per RFP 9000:2008 certified process Section 7.7; Page 39 Subcontracting Request you to allow 1. a consortium of not more than 2 parties in order to have a healthy competition among the bidding parties 2. a local company of Punjab with a minimum net worth As per RFP 74. of Rs 5 crores to be a part of the consortium who must have implemented at least one e-governance project under State Govt. of Punjab We recommend to include at least 10% of Payment Section 8 /Page 40 PAYMENT | For creating competitive bidding and to help bidder to manage Cash flow against delivery of hardware at SDC & office other location SCHEDULE (considering the volume of hardware to be procured), we recommend to include 75. As per RFP at least 10% of Payment against delivery of hardware at SDC & office other location. 2A/ As per price bid do we need to give per Page 81 Need clarification Form Commercial/Financial Bid - E month man power cost only 76. Refer to corrigendum Section 2A/Page Operations and Maintenance Costs Need clarification Form 81 Commercial/Financial Bid - D (Quarterly Expenses for 5 years of contract after "Go-Live") Please provide Refer to corrigendum 77. Section more clarity on how we need to provide costing for this

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 78. | Form 2A/Page 84 Commercial/Financial Bid - J Section | O&M cost for Data center services for five years- What all scope it covers?? Is it maintenance of complete data center or specific hardware installed for this project. | What all scope it covers?? | As per Scope of work defined in Volume II |
| 79. | 7.7 Sub-Contracting, Page no 39, RFP Vol I | Certification for Sub contractor ISO 27001 & CMMi Level 3 or above wherever relevant. | This should be relaxed to ISO 9001 | As per RFP |
| 80. | 8 Terms of Payment Schedule Page no 40, RFP Vol I | Payment Milestones | Payment milestones should be relaxed. | As per RFP |
| 81. | Volume_II Section 4.5 II | | The Asset Management would cover only the Asset Inventory part or we need to link it with Asset procurement, Agreement and purchase order | The asset management module shall cater to all the IT/ non-IT infrastructure used for eDistrict project. However its usages shall entirely be as per the requirement of the department. |
| 82. | Volume_II Page No 29 Section 4.3.2.2. eDistrict Application | Asset Management: As mentioned in Section 4.5 (II), there is a requirement to have an asset management module under e-District project, to monitor the assets used for e-District project at various offices and procured under various Schemes. | We assume that Asset Management is not the part of current eDistrict application and SI need to develop the same and integrate with eDistrict application. Is this understanding correct? | Yes |
| 83. | Volume_II Page 30 4.3.2.2 - IX | | Asset Management Does SI needs to develop this Functionality from the scratch? | Yes. However bidder has the flexibility to develop it or to provide a standard off the shelf product, |
| 84. | Volume_II Page 69, 4.3.11. | Business Continuity Planning | Kindly confirm the location of the Near DR & DR site. Kindly confirm on the do we need to provision for the | I. The Near DR site shall be located in Chandigarh only. The Far DR will be |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | Dr to be exact replica of DC or it can be 50% of the DC. III. Kindly confirm the % of availability required (i.e. how many 9's) | decided at the time of shifting the eDistrict infrastructure to the State Data center. II. Only backup server along-with a SAN is to be placed at near DR site. III. The required availability of backup server shall be same as that of DC infra. |
| 85. | Volume_II Section No 6.4 Page No 104 | Volume - Data Digitization & Data Migration | Are these volumes mentioned for entire state or for an average district? Please clarify | The volume mentioned is for 20 districts. |
| 86. | Volume_II Section 6.4 Page 104 | Volume - Data Digitization & Data Migration | The volume of various records like birth registration, agriculture license are given However the average size/no of pages per record is not mentioned. Kindly share the average document size. Also share the size and condition of documents to be scanned. | The sample documents are provided in the RFP. The condition of the document will vary from place to place. The approx./ indicative size of the register/ documents is as below: Birth/ Death register: 29.5 X 18.5 cm approx. (when closed). Agriculture license: A4 size Ration card: 16 X 24 cm(when closed) |
| 87. | Volume_II Section 4.3.1.1.4 III, Page no. 23 | Data Digitization & Migration | We understand that along with document digitization, SI has to also migrate data from, existing software systems. Please provide information of the Existing application/database Size of the data to be migrated. III. Technology stacks and IV. no. of tables etc. | I. The existing database which is to be migrated is on SQL 2000 or later. II. The size will vary from district to district. |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Volume II Please confirm if the stationary like papers, Ink, Backup To be provided by SI only. 88. Section 4.3.4.1.1, Printout of register of scanned images tapes etc. will be provided by PSeGS. Page no. 50 Volume II The data digitization is not in scope of Pilot SI. Please provide the details of existing data digitization Data Digitization 89. Section 4.3.4.1, The activity has been undertaken by mechanism used for 2 Pilot districts. Page no. 50 department separately. Migrating the data from the other systems/manual operations to the new system will include collection and migration of user data, collection and After studying the existing Database, the SI has Volume II Please provide the details of existing data migration migration of master data, closing or created the utilities to migrate the data to the Section 4.3.4.2. 90. mechanism used for 2 Pilot districts. target database of eDistrict application. Page no. 50 migration of open transactions, collection and migration of documentary information, and migration of data from the legacy systems. Request you to provide more clarity on this process. As its The data processing will be carried out central deployment, would like to have more Volume II at multiple locations, across the state, understanding on need of set of temporary servers for The word temporary here refers to the Page No 16 data processing. 91. with a set of servers temporarily hosted temporary data center located at Sector 26. Section4.1.1. at MGSIPA, Sector 26, Chandigarh to Chandigarh. State Specific Requirements Please confirm if provision of the same is in scope of SI, if support the processing needs. yes, please provide quantity details. Data digitization activity has to be done What will be the total number of locations where data The data digitization shall require to be carried 92. 104 Data Digitization 6.4 at District & sub district level offices. digitization has to be done? out at District & Sub-district levels. Kindly confirm that data digitization can be done at District 104 / 6.4 / Data Digitization The data digitization needs to be carried out at level offices and client would be transferring the record of 93. Data Digitization respective offices where the record is lying. sub district level offices to District level offices?

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|
| | | data digitization | What will be the volume distribution of each type of document at each location? | The total volume is already provided for costing purpose as the unit price shall remain same across the state. |
| | | data digitization | Kindly confirm that scanning will be done at 200 DPI in B/W format and the final images will be saved in PDF format? | The scan resolution shall vary as per the quality of the record. The Scanned image should be clearly readable for verification of data. Rest is as per RFP. |
| | | data digitization | Kindly confirm that infrastructure like space, furniture, AC, electricity, genset etc. required for Scanning and digitization activity will be provided by Punjab State e- Governance Society? | The department shall provide only a physical space with power. However all other arrangements including power backup is SI's responsibility. |
| | | data digitization | Kindly confirm that the documents to be scanned and digitized are in bound or loose form? | The documents are both in bound and loose form depending upon the type of service/ record. |
| | | data digitization | If the documents are in bound form, is unbinding and binding of documents allowed? | For this the permission need to be sought from concerned department, otherwise SI shall be required to devise a workaround. |
| | | data digitization | Total number of records to be digitized is approx. 32 Lac. What will be total number of pages that needs to be scanned? | The sample record is part of the RFP. The total number of pages shall be known during the actual digitization process only. |
| | | data digitization | If the pages are of different sizes then what will be volume distribution for each size of pages? | Data shall be digitized on As-is, where-is basis, however the sample records are provided in the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | What will be number of supporting documents that needs to be scanned per Birth Registration record? | The details are provided in the RFP_Volume II Section 4.3.4 |
| | | data digitization | What will be the average number of entries per page for Birth Registers? | The sample fields are part of the RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | data digitization | Total number of records to be digitized is approx 9.5 Lac. What will be total number of pages that needs to be scanned? | The sample record is part of the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | What will be the size of the pages for Death registration documents i.e. A4/Legal/A3/A2/A1 that needs to be scanned? | The approx./ indicative size of the register/ documents is as below: I. Birth/ Death register: 29.5 X 18.5 cm approx. (when closed). However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | What will be number of supporting documents that needs to be scanned per Death Registration record? | The details are provided in the RFP_Volume II_Section 4.3.4 |
| | | data digitization | What will be the average number of enteries per page for Death Registers? | The sample record is part of the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | What will be the average number of fields per death record and what will be the average number of characters per fields? | The sample record is part of the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | Total number of records to be digitized is approx. 12000. What will be total number of pages that needs to be scanned? What will be the number of pages per Agriculture License? | The sample record is part of the RFP. However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | What will be the size of the pages for Agriculture License i.e. A4/Legal/A3/A2/A1 that needs to be scanned? | The approx./ indicative size of the register/ documents is A4. However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | If the pages are of different sizes then what will be volume distribution for each size of pages? | The sample record is part of the RFP. However there could be some variation from location to location. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| | | data digitization | What will be number of supporting documents, if any that needs to be scanned per Agriculture License record? | The sample record is part of the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | Total number of records to be digitized is approx. 500000. What will be total number of pages that needs to be scanned? What will be the number of pages per Ration Card that needs to be scanned? | The sample record is part of the RFP. However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | What will be the size of the pages for Ration card i.e. A4/Legal/A3/A2/A1 that needs to be scanned? | The approx./ indicative size of the register/ documents is 16 X 24 cm. However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | If the pages are of different sizes then what will be volume distribution for each size of pages? | The sample record is part of the RFP. However there could be some variation from location to location. |
| | | data digitization | What will be number of supporting documents, if any that needs to be scanned per Ration Card? | The sample record is part of the RFP. |
| | | data digitization | Is the service provider required to enter all the monthly entries in ration card or only the entry for last month needs to be entered. Kindly Confirm? | No monthly entries are required to be entered. Only the fields as per the sample record provided in the RFP, required to be entered. |
| 94. | Volume_II Page No 13 of 158 | VII. Further e-District service will be integrated with a mobile service delivery gateway and Aadhaar numbers of the Unique Identification Authority of India. Localization of the application will be carried out as per the requirement of the state in terms of local language and other needs. | Please confirm if Pilot application caters to these requirements or SI needs to build the same. | Integration with UID application is there in the scope of Pilot SI, however the State wide SI may need to make necessary modifications as per the authentication mechanism being introduced by UIDAI. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | | IX. Integration across states shall be enabled, through mandatory adherence to technical specifications, eGovernance and localization standards | | |
| 95. | Vol. II, Sec 4.3.2.10 Existing Application Architecture, Page 37 | Approximate number of forms, reports etc | The numbers mentioned are for how many services? | The details provided are for all the pilot eDistrict services. |
| 96. | Vol II, Sec 4.3.1.1, Application Study & Design, Page 20 | Existing Pilot SI shall provide all necessary knowledge transfer | What timelines have been envisaged for the knowledge transfer? Who is the Pilot SI? | The same is to be decided mutually by both SIs. |
| 97. | Vol. II, Sec 4.3.2.10 Existing Application Architecture, Page 37 | Existing application architecture details | We need to view the existing source code & documentation to understand the scope of work required in application. PI facilitates the same for at least 2 days after pre-bid meeting. | Sufficient existing application details are already provided in the RFP. |
| 98. | Vol II, Sec 4.3.1.1, Application Study & Design, Page 21 | The source code of the Pilot e-District application shall be handed over to the System Integrator at the start of the project along with other relevant documents like Functional Requirement Specification (FRS), System Requirement Specification user manual etc. | Please provide us a copy of existing FRS document. | The same shall be provided to the selected SI. |
| 99. | Vol II, sec 4.3.2.2 e-district Application, Page 27 | The user should be given a choice to interact with the system in local language (Punjabi) in addition to English. | PI let us know whether the existing application is bi- lingual. | Yes. |
| 100. | Common | Hardware specification of existing environment is not mentioned. Required concurrent users in existing | details required | Sufficient details pertaining to pilot application and hardware are provided in the RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|----------|
| | RFP VOL 2 Section No 4.3.3.1 Page No 21 | environment and peak time resource utilization. VII. The high level FRS has been developed by the SPMU (Consultant) and is available along with this RFP in Section 6. The SI shall carry out a detailed application study formulate the System and Software Requirements Specifications documents incorporating the functional specifications and standards provided by the DeitY, Gol and the PSeGS requirements. As a part of the SRS, SI also needs to include the output formats for the services. VIII. The SI shall prepare a detailed document on the implementation of e- District Application with respect to configuration, customization, extension and integration as per the requirement of State. The SI shall also prepare a change/reference document based on changes or deviations from the base version (i.e. ver 1.0) of the e-District Application with appropriate references to all the artifacts /documents provided by DeitY, Gol / PSeGS. XIII. As part of the application Study, the | These clauses have a conflict. Since SI is expected to rollout existing pilot application and conduct suitable changes as required, why is there a need for SRS, Comprehensive application study? A change reference document as asked is sufficient for the purpose of this project. Please clarify. | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| | | SI shall be responsible for Preparation of | | |
| | | a comprehensive application Study | | |
| | | document by studying the legislation, | | |
| | | business processes and organization | | |
| | | design of the Punjab. | | |
| 102. | Common | Details of balance 37 services to be | Whether FRS is prepared for the balance 37 services? | ne FRS for all 47 services has already been |
| 102. | | rolled out in phase II & III | Whether received sign off ? | developed under pilot phase. |
| | | The high level FRS has been developed | | |
| | | by the SPMU (Consultant) and is | | |
| | | available along with this RFP in Section | & III Whether received sign off ? developed under pilot phase. has been developed consultant) and is a this RFP in Section rry out a detailed rmulate the System Requirements ments incorporating specifications and by the DeitY, Gol | |
| | 4.3. Scope of Services – Project Implementation | 6. The SI shall carry out a detailed | | |
| | | application study formulate the System | functionality or just enhancements required and | The high level ERS is for enhancements/ |
| 103. | | and Software Requirements | | C |
| 105. | Phase 4.3.1. Solution Design | Specifications documents incorporating | | |
| | Thase 4.3.1. Solution Design | the functional specifications and | inplemented by 51: | application. |
| | | standards provided by the DeitY, Gol | | |
| | | and the PSeGS requirements. As a part | | |
| | | of the SRS, SI also needs to include the | | |
| | | output formats for the services. | | |
| | | VI. During the exit management period, | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: | |
| 104. | Volume II 4.6.9. Exit | the 'System integrator' shall use its best | VI. During the exit management period, the 'System | As per RFP |
| 104. | Management Plan Page 86 | efforts to deliver the services. | integrator' shall use its reasonable efforts to deliver the | |
| | | | services in accordance with the Exit Management plan. | |
| | | VII. Payments during the Exit | VII. Payments during the Exit Management period shall be | |
| 105. | | Management period shall be made in | made in accordance with the Terms of Payment Schedule. | As per RFP |
| 105. | | accordance with the Terms of Payment | Notwithstanding any other provision, the parties shall | |
| | | Schedule. | agree on the commercial relating to exit management | |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. period in the Exit Management Plan. The number of users (External/Internal) Please specify the number of concurrent users accessing Ref Doc: Volume II will be accessing the departmental The details are provided in the RFP 106. portal at peak time & at off peak time. portal for various services Volume II 4.3.6. Supply / 2112 GSKs are to be opened and shall be Procurement of IT Please specify the number of users per GSK. 107. Two user per GSK Infrastructure at SDC Page: provided access to the application. 54 For carrying out the sizing of the SDC infrastructure the SI may take into consideration the following factors. In the RFP, this indicative data is provided to Pls specify total number of DMS/ Section 4.3.6 (Volume 2), Please clarify this point. the SI for calculating approximate user load on 108. Point IX, Page 56 Workflow users - GSK = 2112, Suwidha the application. Center = 115 *6, Departmental = 10000 i.e. total users = 2112 + 690 + 10000 = 12802? How the application integration with UID will be done? As per the guidelines issued by UIDAI. :As per section 6.2.12 109. How UID no. will be authenticated by E-district application Where will be UAT carried out? Will it be at a centralized SI will be responsible for successful Vol II, sec 4.3.2.22, UAT & The UAT shall be carried out centrally at the location or do we need to travel to different locations & 110. District level. Go-Live, Page 47 completion of UAT departments? The SI is expected to prepare the client Vol 2, Page 54 - 4.3.5. Site Please confirm estimated size and layout of the offices for The size would vary from office to office so no sites for setting up the necessary client 111. Preparation estimates can be provided at this stage. estimating the LAN setup site infrastructure. The training shall be provided to all the Assuming, Training and hand holding will be train to departmental officials who are actors in various Page 67 112. trainer concept for a single location of each district. service deliveries.

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | All trainings to be conducted at District level. |
| 113. | Page 59 Volume II 4.3.9.2. Training Requirements | v. The course material shall be first approved by PSeGS and then only shall be handed over to the trainees. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: v. The course material shall be first approved by PSeGS and then only shall be handed over to the trainees in soft form. Training material, if any, shall be provided in soft form only. | The training material shall be handed over to the trainees in hard copy shape as well. A copy of the same shall be uploaded on the eDistrict portal for ready reference of the trainees. |
| 114. | Vol 11, 4.3.9.5. Training Plan page 66-67 | Duration of the training courses | We suggest PSeGS to relook at the time duration allocated to the Process Training (need to be minimum 16 hours) and the e-District Application Training time to be increased to minimum 48 hours as these trainings have to match the timelines and cover all the 47 services | Please refer to revised training hours in corrigendum. |
| 115. | Vol II, 4.3.9.2. Training Requirements, page 61 | ii) Training shall also be provided for teaching the basic trouble shooting activities (hardware and software related) in case of problems. | Suggestion to include a Troubleshooting training course for few identified resources. | As per RFP & corrigendum. |
| 116. | General | Training numbers per district/ tehsil | Please Share information on number of people that needs to be trained in each of the district and tehsil | The District wise breakup shall be provided to the selected bidder. |
| 117. | Volume II 4.5. General Requirements Page 81 | III. Warranty and Support A. The selected Bidder shall warrant that the IT Infrastructure supplied to the State for this Project shall have no defects arising from design or workmanship or any act or omission of the selected Bidder. The warranty shall remain valid for the Contract period on all the items supplied as per the Contract. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: III. Warranty and Support A. Subject to the warranty exclusions in the Agreement, the selected Bidder shall warrant that the IT Infrastructure supplied to the State for this Project shall have no defects arising from design or workmanship or any act or omission of the selected Bidder. The warranty shall remain valid for the Contract period on all the items supplied as per the Contract. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| | | B. The selected Bidder shall replace any parts/ components of the IT infrastructure supplied for the Project if the components are defective and during the entire warranty period the selected Bidder shall apply latest upgrades for all the hardware components after appropriate testing. The PSeGS will not pay any additional costs separately for warranty and the overall IT infrastructure cost quoted by the selected Bidder shall include the same. | B. The selected Bidder shall replace any parts/ components of the IT infrastructure supplied for the Project if the components are defective and during the entire warranty period the selected Bidder shall apply latest updates for all the hardware components after appropriate testing. The PSeGS will not pay any additional costs separately for warranty and the overall IT infrastructure cost quoted by the selected Bidder shall include the same. | |
| 118. | Common | Detailing of GAP Infrastructure equipments is not mentioned | | The overall requirement is provided in the RFP. |
| 119. | Volume II 4.6.5. Employees | I. Promptly on reasonable request at any time during the exit management period, the 'System integrator' shall, subject to applicable laws, restraints and regulations (including in particular those relating to privacy) provide to the PSeGS or its nominated agency a list of all employees (with job titles) of the 'System integrator' dedicated to providing the services at the commencement of the exit management period. | Bidder suggests that this Clause should be removed | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | | II. Where any national, regional law or | | |
| | | regulation relating to the mandatory or | | |
| | | automatic transfer of the contracts of | | |
| | | employment from the 'System | | |
| | | integrator' to the PSeGSor its nominated | | |
| | | agency, or a Replacement 'System | | |
| | | integrator' ("Transfer Regulation") | | |
| | | applies to any or all of the employees of | | |
| | | the 'System integrator', then the Parties | | |
| | | shall comply with their respective | | |
| | | obligations under such Transfer | | |
| | | Regulations. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | III. To the extent that any Transfer | | |
| | | Regulation does not apply to any | | |
| | | employee of the 'System integrator', | | |
| | | department, or its Replacement 'System | | |
| | | integrator' may make an offer of | | |
| | | employment or contract for services to | | |
| | | such employee of the 'System | | |
| | | integrator' and the 'System integrator' | | |
| | | shall not enforce or impose any | | |
| | | contractual provision that would | | |
| | | prevent any such employee from being | | |
| | | hired by the PSeGS or any Replacement | | |
| | | <<'System integrator'>>. | | |
| 120. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section | SI has to suggest and provide a | Please confirm if any DMS system has been used for Pilot | There is No existing in Pilot application. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| | 4.3.2.17, Page no. 40 | Document management system (DMS). | e-District application. If yes, request to provide the details | |
| | | Please confirm if you require following industry standards & open standards for content management system - HTML5, CSS3, JavaScript, Widgets, Web 2.0, Ajax, CMIS open standards | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | | Content Management System should have teamSpace User Interface for Business Provisioning of Content and Selective Encryption of the Content. | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | free Downloadable iOS App from Apple agile technology. | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP | |
| 121. | Content Management System & Document Management System | Please confirm if CMS should have Universal Viewer of the Content like viewing and annotating PDF docs | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP nis more As per RFP nis more As per RFP As per RFP. However the SI shall provide single complete backup solution covering all aspects of eDistrict solution. |
| | | Please confirm if CMS should have built in API's for Integration with the Backup and Storage Software. | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | However the SI shall provide single complete backup solution covering all aspects of eDistrict |
| | | Please confirm if CMS should have ability to Move content from One Storage device to another using the Pre- Integrated Backup and Storage Software. | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | | Please confirm if CMS should have ability to achieve HSM (Hierarchical | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------|
| | | Storage Management) with the Pre- | | |
| | | Integrated Backup and Storage Software | | |
| | | from the same Content management | | |
| | | system vendor. | | |
| | | Please confirm if the CMS solution | | |
| | | support an open extensible | | |
| | | environment. The solution should be | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more | |
| | | based on industry standards such as | agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | | J2EE, .NET and XML API for custom | | |
| | | application development. 3 Tier | | |
| | | Application | | |
| | | Please confirm if the CMS/DMS solution | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | | support for the communication | | |
| | | protocols such as Lightweight Direct | | |
| | | Access Protocol (LDAP), Hypertext | | |
| | | Transport Protocol (HTTP), SOAP, WSDL, | | |
| | | XPDL, BPMN, IIOP, Web2.0, MTOM, | | |
| | | CMIS, DITA etc | | |
| | | Please confirm if the Content Manager | | |
| | | provide deep integration with MS Office | | |
| | | which includes viewing the content | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more | As per RFP |
| | | repository folder structure in MS Office | agile technology. | - F - |
| | | App and also should provide initiate and | | |
| | | view linear tasks | | |
| | | Please confirm if the CMS Universal | CMS/DMS should have this capability to make this more | |
| | | Viewer to open and support 200+ File | agile technology. | As per RFP |
| | | Formats without the native application | | |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. installed on the machine like PDF In reference to the workflow engine requirement, Please clarify How many FORM 2A (Volume 1), How many workflows do we need to automate and workflows do we need to automate and The DMS has to be common to all services. 122. **SECTION C, PAGE 80** optimize with the DMS and workflow system? optimize with the DMS and workflow system? In reference to the Industry Standard Content Management System & How many users will be using the DMS (Document Document Management System FORM 2A (Volume 1), The user base details are already provided in 123. requirement, Please clarify How many Management System)? How many users will do the SECTION C, PAGE 81 the RFP. users will be using the DMS (Document scanning of the documents? Management System)? How many users will do the scanning of the documents? A full fledge document management system is part of the solution. We understand that it will be an essential SI has to suggest and provide a feature to integrate the Business Intelligence solution with The DMS requirements are mentioned in the Page 40, 4.3.2.17 124. Document management system (DMS). the document management system which will enable RFP. sharing of reporting , alerts and analysis from a central location. Can you please confirm our understanding? Kindly confirm in case all departmental users will be No. ١. provided with the email id 11. No Do SI need to configure / maintain the Email for III. Existing State mail system Ι. the user. 125. Page 65, 4.3.9.4.5 e-mail application Do SI need to provision for any Email Software for However, eDistrict solution will be capable 11. of sending/ receiving e-mails using existing the purpose mail management system setup by Govt. Confirm the email frontend solution of which the III. of Punjab. training need to be provided to the user (For e.g.

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| 126. | VOL 2 Section No 6.2, 35 Page No 98 RFP VOL 2 Section No 6.2, 35 Page No 98 | Regarding workflow engine Regarding workflow engine | Microsoft Outlook) Since you are looking for a workflow engine, please mention workflow engine as a line item in Bom and price sheets with required number of licenses etc. Please mention detailed specifications for a workflow engine including • System Architecture Administration • Designer capabilities • Scalability and Data storage capabilities • Scalability and Data storage capabilities • Security • Document Management Capabilities • BPM Capabilities and standards • Workflow Capabilities and standards • Version management • Meta data management • Search capabilities • Integration capabilities | As per RFP. As per RFP. |
| 128. | Volume_II 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool Page No :140 | Workflow must be able to perform notification via email, SMS and the have provision to interface with other communication modes. The solution should provision the administrator to create new or modify existing workflow by using actions like set fields, push | eForms Capabilities Real time monitoring capabilities Are there any existing process work flows? If yes please provide | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| | | fields, SQL query etc. | | |
| 129. | Vol-II, 4.1 scope of the project-Introduction | Implementation time line is 10 months | Kindly clarify the following: Whether SI has to complete all the 4 phases in 10 months? Means all the activities like completion of requirement gathering, preparation of SRS, HLDD, LLDD, Designing & Development of 47 services, customization 10 existing services, STQC certification, modification of existing application, migration, digitization in English & Punjabi, data conversion, necessary integration, supply & installation of hardware & NW, site preparation, training, supply of Digital Signature etc. needs to be completed within 10 months and then only O&M period will start? Please confirm it, Effort estimation needs to be done accordingly. | As per RFP & corrigendum. |
| 130. | Volume 5.1. Implementation timelines, Page # 87 | Setting up of Data center infrastructure in 1.5 months | How bidder will achieve this timeline? Even if we give purchase order to all OEMS on T+0, usually they take 6-8 weeks time to deliver material on site and them implementation will take time. Request you to give 4 months' timelines to setup Data Centre Infrastructure. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 131. | Volume 5.1. Implementation timelines, Page # 87 | "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase - I. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase – II "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase – III Go-Live of Modified eDistrict | Before Go-Live of services, SI needs to carry out following activities: Knowledge Transfer from Pilot SI Application Study/ Gathering of Change requirements Perform Modifications/bug fixing in existing application User Acceptance STQC Certification Most of these activities are dependent upon 3rd parties, Timelines for application design & roll-out are not realist. | Refer to corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | application across all the districts of the State including the two pilot Districts – Phase - IV | We request department to change as; 1. "Go-Live" of 10 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase - I. T+8 2. "Go-Live" of next set of 25 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase – II. T+12 3. "Go-Live" of remaining 12 Services in all the Districts of State of Punjab – Phase – III. T+15 4. Go-Live of Modified eDistrict application across all the districts of the State including the two pilot Districts – Phase - IV. T+18 | |
| 132. | RFP Volume II/page 87 | Implementation timelines, IT Infrastructure readiness for all districts w.r.t. first set of 10 services | We request to provide districts hardware details segregating Service wise, presently we have list of all Office hardware that need to be supplied. Please provide Bifurcated List of hardware delivery with respect to Services & location | As per RFP. |
| 133. | Page 55 | The IT Infrastructure proposed should be compatible with existing infrastructure at SDC | Do we need to take the pilot e-District infrastructure into account when sizing for the system? | Yes |
| 134. | Volume_II Page No 26 4.3.2.2. eDistrict Application | A. Front end on the State's portal; if State portal is not operational, front end may be designed with migration strategy to State portal after operationalization of State portal. i. The e-District portal is already existing, so new SI will be required to make changes in terms of content updation, design aspects and other such changes | Is application deployed on a portal? If yes, please name the Software Portal used | The application presently has its own web portal, but later on it shall be integrated with State Portal. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|-------------|
| | | proposed by PSeGS. | | |
| 135. | Page 27 -Vol II | 4.3.2.2 Point VI The user should be given a choice to interact with the system in local language (Punjabi) in addition to English. The application should provision for uniform user experience across the multi lingual functionality covering following aspects: | As we understand that a Portal is already develop by existing SI and that is going to be rolled out across the state, we need to understand that the existing portal is Unicode compliant and having Punjabi language or the New SI has to bring in these functionalities in existing portal. Kindly explain? Also please share the Technology details of existing Portal and Other applications to be hosted on Portal? | As per RFP. |
| 136. | Volume II 4.6.2. Transfer of Assets Page 82 | 4.6.2. Transfer of Assets I. PSeGS shall be entitled to serve notice in writing on the SI at any time during the exit management period as detailed hereinabove requiring the SI and/or its sub-contractors to provide the PSeGS with a complete and up to date list of the Assets within 30 days of such notice. PSeGS shall then be entitled to serve notice in writing on the SI at any time prior to the date that is 30 days prior to the end of the exit management period requiring the SI to sell the Assets, if any, to be transferred to PSeGS or its nominated agencies at book value as determined as of the date of such notice in accordance with the provisions of | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: 4.6.2. Transfer of Assets I. PSeGS shall be entitled to serve notice in writing on the SI at any time during the exit management period as detailed hereinabove requiring the SI and/or its sub- contractors to provide the PSeGS with a complete and up to date list of the tangible Assets which can be transferred to the PSeGS within 30 days of such notice. PSeGS shall then be entitled to serve notice in writing on the SI at any time prior to the date that is 30 days prior to the end of the exit management period requiring the SI to sell the tangible Assets, if any, to be transferred to PSeGSor its nominated agencies at book value of the SI as determined as of the date of such notice in accordance with the provisions of relevant laws. | As per RFP |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. relevant laws. E. The outgoing SI will pass on to PSeGS Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: and/or to the Replacement SI, the E. The outgoing SI will pass on to PSeGS and/or to the subsisting rights in any leased Replacement SI, the subsisting rights in any leased Volume II 4.6.2. Transfer of properties/ licensed products on terms properties/ licensed products on terms not less favorable 137. As per RFP Assets to PSeGS/Replacement SI, than that enjoyed by the less favorable to not PSeGS/Replacement SI, than that outgoing SI subject to agreement of the relevant third enjoyed by the outgoing SI. party. Responsibility of STQC certification -Only with new SI ? Or pilot SI & new SI 138. Kindly Guide As per RFP Common will take joint responsibility? Time line for the preparation of State As per RFP & corrigendum Data center is not defined which will 139. Common affect the overall roll out Why SI would suffer for the target no. of As per RFP & Corrigendum 140. Common transactions? Kindly elaborate on Toll free number requirement. Please Vol 2 Telephonic call on the Toll-free Helpline specify who will bear the basic infrastructure cost and 141. Section 4.4.4 The complete cost is to be borne by the SI. (to be provided by SI). monthly cost of same; as these components are not Page 49 reflecting in financial sheet. The source code of the Pilot e-District application shall be handed over to the We assume that the source code of the Pilot phase will be System Integrator at the start of the 4.3.1.1. Application Study tested and successfully running in the pilot districts and in and Design (Point 2), pg 20, project along with other relevant 142. As per RFP. case of any bugs in the same it will be fixed by the SI for documents like Functional Requirement Vol 2 the pilot project. Can you confirm the understanding? Specification (FRS), System Requirement Specification (SRS) & Application user

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | manual etc. The existing pilot SI (System Integrator) shall provide all necessary Knowledge transfer related to the Pilot eDistrict application, Source code, and implementation methodology to the State wide roll out SI. Every care shall be taken to ensure that | | |
| 143. | 4.3.2.6. Interoperability Standards, Pg 34 Vol 2 | the code does not build a dependency on any proprietary software, particularly, through the use of proprietary 'stored procedures' belonging to a specific database product. | We assume that this will applicable only for the custom code written and any code written to customize or extend a product used in the application (say already built during pilot) is exception from this clause. Can you confirm the understanding? | The clause is comprehensive and applicable to complete edistrict solution implemented by the SI. |
| 144. | 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC, Pg 54, Vol 2 | The State has made provision at Mahatma Gandhi State institute (MGSIPA), sector 26, Chandigarh for the Data Centre premises for hosting the IT Infrastructure. The pilot e-district SDC infrastructure is also hosted at the same place. | We assume the premises will have basic non IT facilities for hosting IT infrastructure e.g. Acs, power backup etc. | Yes |
| 145. | Volume_II Section 4.2.1, Page no. 18 | The design should include integration with existing IT infrastructure created under SDC, SWAN, GSK, State Portal, SSDG and any other MMP that is being implemented in the state and requires integration with eDistrict Project. eDistrict Application should be | Please confirm if deployment of current pilot/existing application has been verified on the existing IT infrastructure. Request you to also confirm if the pilot/existing application has provision to integrate with State Portal and Gateway or SI is expected to build the same. | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| | | integrated with State Portal and Gateway. | | |
| 146. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section 4.3.2.3 I, Page no. 34 | The pilot e-District application shall be STQC certified and thereafter will be used for State wide roll out. | Request to kindly confirm if existing application is STQC certified or not. | As per RFP. |
| 147. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC Page: 54 | Total number of potential users (casual browsers) Initially 10000 per day. | Do potential users include both the internal and external users? | These are external users. |
| 148. | Volume-2, section 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC, Clause IX and page number 56-57 | For carrying out the sizing of the SDC infrastructure the SI may take into consideration the following factors: | Please specify concurrency of various type of users mentioned in the table. Segregate internet and intranet users | As per RFP |
| 149. | Volume-2 4.3.11. Business Continuity Planning, Clause III & V and Page number 69 | Ensuring data backup till the last transaction occurring in the system to ensure enhanced service levels and following RPO and RTO objectives: A. Peak hours: Zero RPO and Zero RTO B. Non-Peak Hours: Zero RPO and RTO <= 60 minutes | The department is really looking for RPO=0 and RTO=0 in peak hour? To achieve this DC and DR site required to work in active-active mode. Complete Infrastructure to be deployed at DC would also be required at DR site. | Refer to RFP & Corrigendum |
| 150. | Volume-2 Page # 112 | 6.5.2. Form B: Bill of Material (Infrastructure at SDC) | As existing application to be rolled-out with new services requirements .Please specify minimum quantity of servers to give all bidders a minimum platform. This will also help department to evaluate the solution. | As per RFP. |
| 151. | RFP Volume II/page 45 4.3.2.21.1 | Penalties on Resources: Point 3, 4 No substitution of resources will be allowed | Request to delete this penalty clause as Employee cannot be bounded by any bidder to work with them thus , if | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | | whose CVs have been provided along with the technical bid for the period T + 120 days (as per RFP Volume 2). | employee leaves the Bidder company, it would be impossible to comply, Same quality resource will be provide in exchange if such scenario comes within specific time | |
| 152. | Volume II page 150 | · CISCO- ASA5510-AIP10SP-K9 | Request you to pls. specify the open and generic specification for the firewall requirement This is a specific part code from Cisco. To allow a fair participation from all the leading OEM's with firewall solutions, we request you to pls. specify the firewall specifications separately. | This is part name of firewall installed under pilot implementation and mentioned here only for reference purpose. |
| 153. | Page # 92 - Vol-II | Hardware architecture must support existing Storage Area Network (SAN) & backup solution (at SDC) | What is the existing infrastructure? How old is it? What is the existing SAN & backup solution | Refer section no 6.6 of RFP Volume II |
| 154. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section 4.3.2.20, Page no. 44 first para. | The SI is expected to provide technical and operational support for the project implementation. | Please confirm if secured connectivity to QA and PROD servers can be provided to SI's delivery center in India. In this case SI can provide technical support from its own delivery center, so that department need not invest in required infrastructure needed for deputed engineers. | The access shall be provided with prior approval of PSeGS on case to case basis. |
| 155. | VOL 2 Section No 4.3.1.1.3. Page No 23 | As part of the preparation of SRS the selected SI shall be responsible for preparing and submitting detailed requirement specification documents as per IEEE or equivalent standards which meets all the Business, Functional and Technical (including localization) requirements of the departments | In a project involving roll out of existing app and modifications to app, efforts to re-build SRS are not required. Please alter the term to "updating existing documents" | The SI need to prepare SRS for new functionalities asked for in this RFP as per DeitY guidelines and PSeGS requirements. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|-------------|
| 156. | pg. 8 Volume II | concerned. The SI shall prepare the SRS documents and have it reviewed and approved by the PSeGS. PSeGS will sign off on the SRS documents on the advice of SPMU. Glossary of Terms: "Contract / Agreement / Contract Agreement / Master Service Agreement" means the Agreement to be signed between the successful bidder and PSeGS, including all attachments, appendices, all documents incorporated by reference thereto together with any subsequent modifications, the RFP, the bid offer, the acceptance and all related correspondences, clarifications, | Bidder submits that since the Contract will be a comprehensive document covering all aspects of the transaction and superseding any prior communications, reference to the RFP in the adjacent provisions should be removed. | As per RFP |
| 157. | Volume_II Page No 27 of 158 4.3.2.2. eDistrict Application | presentations. The eDistrict Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services encompasses people, process and content, hence it is implicit to use the Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services solution approach. The eDistrict Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services should uniquely integrate process with content to provide a unified, template based solution | We assume that the pilot/existing application is compliant with all specified requirements. Kindly confirm our assumption. | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| | | designed environment. It should also include business rule management and collaboration capabilities to help optimize workload & outcomes. This should help eDistrict application users and back office operation in application development with minimum efforts | | |
| 158. | FORM 2A (Volume 1), SECTION C, PAGE 80 | Development if generic workflow engine | How many workflows do we need to automate and optimize with the DMS and workflow system? | DMS is to be integrated with complete solution. |
| 159. | RFP VOL 2 Section No 6.2, 35 Page No 98 | Regarding workflow engine | Functionality for design, implementation, simulation, optimization and re-deployment of business processes. Enable business process change at any time without affecting the operation of end users. Systematic version control processes. Simulation and analysis of business processes. Should Support process standards such as BPMN for process modeling and XPDL for process definition and execution Should support active content, where content is made available to users at the right time in the right context without them having to search for it. Need smooth integration with content management Tool for modeling the process, allowing end users to design processes without the need for programming. Easily design and use of Web 2.0 user interface for processing tasks. | As per RFP |

| S. RFP Document (Section & No. Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|--|---|---|----------|
| | | WEB 2.0 user interfaces should have the functionality of the automatic linking of independent components of the user interface. Able to react to system events such as timers or entering a new document in the system. inclusion of Business Rule Management System with collaborative rule management for business teams and robust, scalable and precise rule execution Possibility of conditional branching in business processes. Parallel routing functionality in business processes. Route tasks to multiple users and systems simultaneously. Store all user actions undertaken in processing tasks (audit log). React to system events such as timers or entering a new document in the system. Should support open standards such as HTTP, XML, SOAP, J2EE, and WebDAV to provide maximum flexibility, scalability and ease of deployment OASIS CMIS standard to improve interoperability across ECM repositories WS-BPEL for managing the orchestration conversations with services WS-Security for passing authentication and authorization information | |
| | | Electronic authoring, Versioning, Lifecycle, | |

| Review/approval, Publish Document metadata, document adocument approval, searching, folders, compound documents, lifecycle management, publishing Content federation, security and auditing, retention management, geographical optimization Functionality that the content is stored in their original file format and / or a picture. Enable the storage of content in various formats including text files, spreadsheet files, video, audio, binary and other. | S. RFP Document (Section & No. Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|--|--|---|---|----------|
| Security and compression of form data on network and storage Secured and encrypted data - For synchronization between offline client to backend application and data server Allow offline client data stored locally to be used only with the application Security features: includes client security features like credential (key) store, enterprise single sign-on, two- factor authentication, enhanced Web services security, RSA encryption Offline solution should also support Signed Features, is FIPS 140-2 compliant, provides local encryption and time stamping for signed plug-ins Forms based XFDL standards Online & Off-line Locally downloadable e-Forms | | | Document metadata, document versioning, document approval, searching, folders, compound documents, lifecycle management, publishing Content federation, security and auditing, retention management, geographical optimization Functionality that the content is stored in their original file format and / or a picture. Enable the storage of content in various formats including text files, spreadsheet files, video, audio, binary and other. Security and compression of form data on network and storage Secured and encrypted data - For synchronization between offline client to backend application and data server Allow offline client data stored locally to be used only with the application Security features: includes client security features like credential (key) store, enterprise single sign-on, two-factor authentication, enhanced Web services security, RSA encryption Offline solution should also support Signed Features, is FIPS 140-2 compliant, provides local encryption and time stamping for signed plug-ins Forms based XFDL standards | |

| S. RFP Document (Section No. Page Number(s)) | & Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|---|---|---|----------|
| | | should support open standards based Xform implementation Supports Digital Certificate Built in business validations e-Forms support attachment of multiple documents A approach based on specialty driven pre-integrated capabilities - like enterprise document management system, business process manager, analytics, dashboard, unified view of service situation, collaboration tools and easy to develop design builders Wizard driven designer & template approach should allow the user to very quickly build a solution The widgets based development should expose all events and capabilities programmatically, empowering solution Developers to create rapidly deployable custom-built UIs. Every citizen request should be stored with complete log of approvals, supporting document, signatures, time and stamp of approver as a single case Digital signature integration and in scenarios of multiple approvals there should be minimal increase in the size of the digitally signed file Rich data modeling concepts like Case Objects, Tasks (Ad hoc, Manual and Automatic), allowing consolidation of all information related to a business process instance, giving a 360 view of the same | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|----------|
| | | | LDAP v3 Directory Support | |
| | | | Digital Signature support | |
| | | | > The Dash Board should provide Real Time Pendency | |
| | | | Reports for all services across state | |
| | | | \succ Real time view of the status of the services under | |
| | | | consideration at every stage and alerts should be | |
| | | | generated | |
| | | | Online configurable dashboards, reports, data | |
| | | | analysis for decision support system | |
| | | | Inclusion of content based analytics with the scale of | |
| | | | enterprise search. It should help to derive trends, | |
| | | | patterns and relationships from unstructured data | |
| | | | and related structured data | |
| | | | System Architecture | |
| | | | Administration | |
| | | | Designer capabilities | |
| | | | Scalability and Data storage capabilities | |
| | | | Security | |
| | | | Document Management Capabilities | |
| | | | BPM Capabilities and standards | |
| | | | Workflow Capabilities and standards | |
| | | | Version management | |
| | | | Meta data management | |
| | | | Search capabilities | |
| | | | Integration capabilities | |
| | | | eForms Capabilities | |
| | | | Real time monitoring capabilities | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| 160. | Page no 33 | | Online configurable dashboards, reports, data analysis for decision support system How many Offline Service Capabilities will be in the scope or it means all district will have offline service along with online service. | As per RFP |
| 161. | Page 82 Volume II .6.3.Cooperation and Provision of Information | II. Promptly on reasonable request by the PSeGS, the SI shall provide access to and copies of all information held or controlled by them which they have prepared or maintained in accordance with this agreement relating to any material aspect of the services (whether provided by the System integrator or sub-contractors appointed by the <<'System integrator'>>). The PSeGS shall be entitled to copy of all such information. Such information shall include details pertaining to the services rendered and other performance data. The System integrator shall permit the PSeGS or its nominated agencies to have reasonable access to its employees and facilities as reasonably required by the PSeGS to understand the methods of delivery of the services employed by the System integrator and to assist | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: II. Promptly on reasonable request by the PSeGS, the SI shall provide access to and copies of all information held or controlled by them which they have prepared or maintained in accordance with this agreement relating to any material aspect of the services (whether provided by the System integrator or sub-contractors appointed by the <<'System integrator'>>). The PSeGS shall be entitled to copy of all such information. Such information shall include details pertaining to the services rendered and other performance data. The System integrator shall permit the PSeGS or its nominated agencies to have reasonable access to its employees and facilities as reasonably required by the PSeGS to understand the methods of delivery of the services employed by the System integrator and to assist appropriate knowledge transfer. ThePSeGS shall maintain confidentiality of the information shared by the SI. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|------------|
| 162. | Volume II4.6.6. Transfer of Certain Agreements | appropriate knowledge transfer. 4.6.6. Transfer of Certain Agreements On request by the Punjab State e- Governance Society or its nominated agency the 'System integrator' shall effect such assignments, transfers, licences and sub-licences as the PSeGS may require in favour of the PSeGS, or its Replacement 'System integrator' in relation to any equipment lease, maintenance or service provision agreement between 'System integrator' and third party lessors, vendors, and which are related to the services and reasonably necessary for the carrying out of replacement services by the Punjab State e-Governance Society or its nominated agency or its Replacement 'System integrator'. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: 4.6.6. Transfer of Certain Agreements On request by the Punjab State e-Governance Society or its nominated agency the 'System integrator' shall at Punjab State e-Governance Society's cost and subject to consent of the relevant third party effect such assignments, transfers, licences and sub-licences as the PSeGS may require in favour of the PSeGS, or its Replacement 'System integrator' in relation to any equipment lease, maintenance or service provision agreement between 'System integrator' and third party lessors, vendors, and which are related to the services and reasonably necessary for the carrying out of replacement services by the Punjab State e-Governance Society or its nominated agency or its Replacement 'System integrator'. | As per RFP |
| 163. | Volume II 4.6.7. Rights of Access to Premises Page 85 | II. The 'System integrator' shall also give the PSeGS or its nominated agency or its nominated agencies, or any Replacement 'System integrator' right of reasonable access to the Implementation Partner's premises and shall procure the PSeGS or its nominated agency or its nominated agencies and | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: II. The 'System integrator' shall also give the PSeGS or its nominated agency or its nominated agencies, or any Replacement 'System integrator' right of reasonable access to the Implementation Partner's premises and shall procure the PSeGS or its nominated agency or its nominated agencies and any Replacement 'System integrator' rights of access to relevant third party premises | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|-------------|
| | | any Replacement 'System integrator' rights of access to relevant third party premises during the exit management period and for such period of time following termination or expiry of the MSA as is reasonably necessary to migrate the services to the PSeGS or its nominated agency, or a Replacement 'System integrator'. | during the exit management period and for such period of time following termination or expiry of the MSA as is reasonably necessary to migrate the services to the PSeGS or its nominated agency, or a Replacement 'System integrator'. The PSeGS or its nominated agency, or a Replacement 'System integrator' shall comply with security and confidentiality guidelines of the Systems Integrator and relevant third party. | |
| 164. | 4.1.1.1. Design Considerations, Page 16 | Application will be hosted centralized at MGSIPA, Sector 26, Chandigarh and all the users will access the application over PAWAN (Punjab Wide Area Network – SWAN in Punjab). | Clarification: As it has been mentioned in RFP that all the application will be hosted centralized and all the E-District location will connect to PAWAN PoPs to access these applications, kindly advice if all the 1000 E-District locations/ routers will connect individually to PAWAN PoPs? Or it is planned to aggregate these location and create kind of sub-PoP to PAWAN and then connect to PAWAN. In case aggregation location need to be created, please note for aggregation location asked specification router would not be sufficient both in terms of performance and as well in terms asked interfaces, so kindly advise if you will provide the separate set of specifications for aggregation locations? It is highly recommended that a tiered Network architecture is proposed for connecting user sites to | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Pawan. Without any clear indications, a flat network converging on Pawan will contribute to serious issues on scalability and availability of PAWAN. Accordingly we recommended Specifications for Mini-Pop Routers as follows: Min performance of 300 Kpps, Support of Channelized E1, Support for Redundant Power Supply. The Mini POP should support 2 10/100/1000 for WAN and 8 10/100/1000 for LAN interfaces. | |
| 165. | 4.3.3. Network Connectivity, Page 48 | In Punjab SWAN (PAWAN) is already operational across the State with 4 Mbps vertical connectivity up to District and 2Mbps from District to block level. Total 196 PoPs (Point of Presence) are operational across the state. The district & block would be connected to the nearest PAWAN PoPs. The selected Bidder shall ensure the complete local area networking at the field offices. | Clarification: As it has been mentioned in the RFP that all the E-District locations (1000 Routers) will connect to PAWAN PoPs, please advise who will take care of the interface requirement and connectivity at PAWAN POPs to accommodate E-District locations? Also you are request to provide the details of the routers available at PAWAN PoP so that compatibility can be verified. | This scope of work regarding PAWAN POP, shall be taken care by PAWAN operator. However SI shall provide necessary support and will coordinate with PAWAN operator for establishing connectivity. |
| 166. | 4.3.3. Network Connectivity, Page 48 | Network connectivity - PAWAN Topology | Clarifications: You are request to provide the details of PAWAN WAN current topology. Pls advise what all services currently running on PAWAN Network like voice, video, data, encryption and security services, should also get extended to E-District locations through E-District routers? This would ensure that there is clear synergy and integration being provisioned on E District Network with current PAWAN Network | The required details could be provided to selected bidder. All the eDistrict offices shall become a part of the PAWAN and all services running on PAWAN will get extended to these offices as and when required by the State. The State has all the rights to utilize the |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. network infrastructure for any other purpose. Ref Doc: Volume_II 6.5.4.9. Centralized IT helpdesk for technical and Is there an existing Service Desk / Help Desk I. At present there is no Service desk/ help Service Desk / Helpdesk & functional support must be maintained desk under the pilot edistrict. environment? 167. SLA Monitoring Tool Page What would be the maximum count of concurrent II. Refer to user details in RFP. to respond to gueries and solve issues of П. users logged into these systems? No:139 the users. Configuration Management The Ref Doc: Volume II 6.5.4.9. should support multiple Database Service Desk / Helpdesk & Is there an existing solution/tool to discover Configuration datasets with federation and 168. No SLA Monitoring Tool Page No reconciliation facilities so as to get data Items? from various discovery tools and also :141 through manual import process. Volume II Page No 21 of 158 The SI will develop standardized physical 4.3.1.1. Application Study application input forms for all services The point is self-explanatory. Please elaborate in details 169. and Design under the project. Volume II Page No 26 of 158 Back end for the printing, status update Please confirm if any analytics tool has been used for this 170. No 4.3.2.2. eDistrict Application and centralized MIS application. purpose in pilot phase? Development of Role based, workflow driven Web based Content Management System (CMS) for contribution of any Volume II type of Content to the eDistrict Application including the metadata as Are you using any CMS solution with e-District applications Page No 26 171. No Section 4.3.2.2. specified in SRS. Should support open in piloted districts? standards such as HTTP, XML, SOAP, eDistrict Application J2EE, and WebDAV to provide maximum flexibility, scalability and ease of deployment. Vol II, Sec 4.3.1.1, Application physical Approximately how many forms are there to be As per services in the scope of work. 172. SI will develop standard

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | Study & Design, Page 21 | application input forms. | developed? | |
| 173. | Vol 2, Page 54 - 4.3.5. Site Preparation | The details of number of offices for site preparation will be provided by the PSeGS. | Please confirm the number of offices for site preparation | As part of Site preparation, the SI needs to setup approx. 2500 LAN nodes and approx. 3000 electric sockets spread across approx. 1500 offices across the State. However these figures could vary on either side. |
| 174. | Vol 2, Page 54 - 4.3.5. Site Preparation | To ensure adequate number of LAN ports so as to ensure flexibility of operations by the officials | Please provide the tentative number of LAN Nodes ports and device distribution at each site? | The location wise breakup shall be provided to the selected bidder. |
| 175. | Vol 2 | Consumables | Please clarify the consumables like paper, toner etc. shall be out of scope of bidder. | The SI need to provide the printer along with a fresh filled OEM toner. However the recurring cost of toner & paper is out of scope. |
| 176. | Vol 2, Page 48 | LAN within all District/ Block/ field offices including but not limited to IP addressing scheme, physical cabling, router/switch configuration, V-LAN configuration, load balancing configuration, and fail over mechanism. The selected Bidder should coordinate with the local department offices while designing and installing the LAN. | We understand the networking connectivity shall be through PAWAN. There will be no secondary link. Please clarify how department envisages "load balancing configuration, and fail over mechanism" at sites | The bandwidth is not in the scope of the SI. |
| 177. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.2.4. Single-Sign On Page: 34 | Help module, basic and advanced reporting | Is there is any separate reporting solution needed? Or the reporting capabilities of the single sign on product are sufficient? | Please refer to corrigendum |
| 178. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section | The state already has other applications | Please confirm if existing e-District application has already | The integration scope of work of the new SI is |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| | 4.3.2.6, Page no. 34 | deployed and running for delivering services to citizens. The SI shall ensure that the application developed is easily integrated with the existing applications in the State. | been integrated with other applications in pilot phase of 2 districts. Request to provide details on this. | mentioned in the RFP. |
| 179. | Ref page# 69 and pg# 119- 120 (Vol-II) | A. Peak hours: Zero RPO and Zero RTO ; B. Non-Peak Hours: Zero RPO and RTO <= 60 minutes | Practically Zero RTO is not achievable. This will involve setting up of high bandwidth (usually dark fibre, redundant between DC and DR sites). Also for achieving Zero RPO customer need Three site replication. | AS per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 180. | 4.3.2.23. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) | PSeGS in no case shall be held responsible for any IPR violation done by the System Integrator while executing the scope of work of this RFP. | PSeGS in no case shall be held responsible for any IPR violation done by the System Integrator while executing the scope of work of this RFP unless such violation results from requirements, instructions or specification of PSeGS. | As per RFP |
| 181. | Common | Application & database migration during shifting of DC to SDC, effort calculation required here | Need to check the volume of work and effort calculation require here for 4th Phase go-live | Complete details are provided in the RFP. Bidder need to estimate the effort required. |
| 182. | Common | Details of modification require in FRS/SRS and application | Volume needs to be calculated accordingly | As per RFP |
| 183. | Page 49 Volume_II | VI. System Integrator shall also provide NMS licenses, using which one should be able to monitor, from a central place, the health of all network devices under the eDistrict network. The NMS shall be compatible with the NMS used under PAWAN project. The details of which are provided under this RFP. | This means that the state has a NMS running under PAWAN set-up, the bidder can either use the same or should provide additional licenses for the e-District setup to ensure compatibility. Kindly confirm? | The bidder needs to provide NMS solution as per the requirement mentioned in the RFP, which should be capable to be Integrated with the existing NMS solution. |
| 184. | Page 139 of | 6.7. PAWAN NMS details | Punjab State has already invested & deployed NMS / | |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. "RFP eDistrict State Wide R Helpdesk tools in their State Wide Area Network (SWAN). CA eHealth Version -6.2.2 oll O CA Spectrum Version - 8.1, likely to be All of the requirements asked for NMS / Helpdesk & ut_Punjab_Volume_II" upgraded to 9.2 soon. relevant SLA monitoring in the Punjab e-District RFP can be met & achieved by utilizing & extending the existing NMS / Helpdesk licenses in SWAN with possibly no additional requirement of Hardware & almost zero & implementation cost pain. We strongly recommend state to extend \ integrate the same family of NMS \ Helpdesk tools in the SWAN to monitor Punjab e-District infrastructure & its respective SLAs in order to have unified integrated monitoring system across SWAN & e-District service delivery... Please confirm if the bidders should leverage & utilize the existing infrastructure at SWAN & safeguard the existing investments of the state VI. System Integrator shall also provide This means that the tool should integrate Network, server, NMS licenses, using which one should be application and database performance information and Page 49 of able to monitor, from a central place, alarms in a single console and provide a unified reporting "RFP eDistrict State Wide R 185. the health of all network devices under interface for all Network and system components. The As per RFP oll O current performance state of the entire Network and the eDistrict network. The NMS shall be ut Punjab Volume II" system infrastructure shall be visible in a single unified & compatible with the NMS used under integrated console. Kindly confirm? PAWAN project. 6.7. PAWAN NMS details Page 139 of We believe there is a CA Helpdesk tool also running in the CA eHealth Version -6.2.2 "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R As per RFP 186. CA Spectrum Version - 8.1, likely to be PAWAN set-up, Kindly confirm? oll Out Punjab Volume II" upgraded to 9.2 soon. The IPR of the Pilot e-District application The IPR of the Pilot e-District application as specifically and As per RFP. 187. Volume II

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | as provided to the System Integrator at the beginning of the project, the modification/ updation/ customization done by system integrator in the same and the final solution deployed by the system integrator, in any case, shall rest with PSeGS. The SI shall at no point of time, be in a position to claim right over any information / solution provided as a part of this bid. | exclusively developed by the System Integrator and provided to the System Integrator during the term of the project, the modification/ updation/ customization done by system integrator in the same and the final solution deployed by the system integrator, in any case, shall rest with PSeGS provided all the payments due to the System Integrator for the deliverables rendered (including the finalsolution) pursuant to the Agreement have already been paid by PSeGS to the SI. The SI shall at no point of time, be in a position to claim right over any information / solution specifically and exclusively developed by the SI and provided as a part of this bid. Third party IPR shall be governed by the terms of the applicable end user license agreement (EULA). All pre-existing IPR of the SI including the modification/ updation/ customization/ improvement or derivative works thereof shall be rest with the SI. | |
| 188. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section 4.3.2.2 XVI, Page no. 32 | Application platform should supports the following smart phone mobile OS (Android 2.2, 2.3, 3.0, 4.0 and above, iOS 4, 5 and above, Blackberry 6.0 and above, Windows Phone OS 7.5, Mobile Web App) | Please confirm if compliance testing has been conducted for the pilot/existing application for the specified platforms. We understand that SI has to build the test environment, so need to provision for these test equipment's. Kindly confirm our understanding. | This was not in the scope of work of Pilot SI. |
| 189. | Ref Doc: Volume_II Section 4.3.2.2 Offline Service Capabilities last para, page no. 33 | At present the State do not require development of any additional module of service. All the services developed under the pilot implementation scheme shall only be taken up for State wide roll | As per our understanding the existing application to be made such as configuration of new module can be done. Please confirm the new module development is not in current scope. | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| | | out. However as already mentioned in previous sections that SI need to | | |
| | | develop a configurable application so | | |
| | | that any new service could be added by | | |
| | | state with minimum efforts required | | |
| | | and no change request. | | |
| | | LAN within all District/ Block/ field | | |
| | | offices including but not limited to IP | Please confirm the load requirements for each year. SI can | |
| 190. | Vol II Page 57 | addressing scheme, physical cabling, | ensure the sizing only if the exact load and projections for | User details provided in the RFP. |
| 190. | Clause (X) | router/switch configuration, V-LAN | increase are provided. Please also mention the acceptance | oser details provided in the Krr. |
| | | configuration, load balancing | criteria for performance testing | |
| | | configuration, and fail over mechanism. | | |
| | | SI shall, every 6 months carry out load | | |
| | Vol II Page 57 | testing through tools like Load Runner, | Please specify the tool requirement. There number of | The requirement is to have the performance |
| 191. | Clause (XI) | Rational Robot, and Performance tools | tools available in paid as well as open source domain. | reports as per the requirements. SI may use any |
| | | to ensure compliance to the sizing | Specifying Tool(s) will ensure smooth evaluation | suitable tool for the same. |
| | | criterion, quoted in the tender. | | |
| | | eDistrict Application - Development of | | |
| | | Role based, workflow driven Web based | | |
| | | Content Management System (CMS) for | | |
| | | contribution of any type of Content to | | |
| 192. | Page 26 - Vol II | the eDistrict Application including the | As this is going to be a New Development of a DMS , Can | As per RFP. |
| | 4.3.2.2 | metadata as specified in SRS. Should | we use any COTS/ Opensource Solution for this activity | |
| | | support open standards such as HTTP, | and develop the specific functions on that platform. | |
| | | XML, SOAP, J2EE, and WebDAV to provide maximum flexibility, scalability | | |
| | | and ease of deployment | | |
| | | | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 193. | Page 27 -Vol II 4.3.2.2 Point V | The eDistrict Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services encompasses people, process and content, hence it is implicit to use the Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services solution approach. The eDistrict Integrated Framework for Delivery of Services should uniquely integrate process with content to provide a unified, template based solution designed environment. It should also include business rule management and collaboration capabilities to help optimize workload & outcomes. This should help eDistrict application users and back office operation in application development with minimum efforts | This Clause mentions that there is a need to develop business rule management system. Kindly explain this clause in detail, do you want the SI to provide you a Business process management / business rule management solution for workflows? If so then , Can a open source based rule engine can be used? kindly suggest. | As per RFP |
| 194. | Page 30 - Vol II | 4.3.2.2 - IX On the same lines application should be able to generate MIS for individual Suwidha data entry operators with aggregation at individual Suwidha level then at District level and State level. The format for these MIS reports shall be finalized by PSeGS. | How many such MIS Reports need to be prepared? | The solution should be configurable to provide customized reports. |
| 195. | Page 32 - Vol II 4.3.2.2 - XIII | | Complete mobile enablement of the e-District applications and services including all appropriate channels such as SMS / USSD / IVRS and development of corresponding | It is included in the scope of the Bidder. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | mobile applications to the eDistrict applications and services leveraging the Mobile Service Delivery Gateway (MSDG) and the Mobile App Store developed by DeitY Who will be responsible for this Mobile Application development? | |
| 196. | RFP for Selection of System Integrator for State Wide Roll-out of e-district MMP in Punjab– Volume II, Page 100 | Please confirm if Average Network Availability between each of the designated office location and the nearest SWAN PoP. | What about the Network Monitoring as there is no technical specifications of Network Monitoring system? What will be the provision to monitor the SLA of network monitoring? | The existing NMS details are part of the RFP. |
| 197. | General | Electronic Forms | Can SI consider building e-district application on other technologies with using existing pilot application and other OEM applications to use business logic and it will provide more features and functionalities which are provided by pilot application? It will help SI's to over come challenges faced by single OEM technologies. | As per RFP. |
| 198. | General | Electronic Forms | Please consider if department can also include an e-Forms capabilities sections. e-Form technology is highly recommended as department will be using digital signatures to signing the forms. Eforms encapsulate all the information at single place & multiple overlapping digital signatures can be implied on it. It is very hard to achieve same functionality using custom build application. Following features mentioned in the document will help department to overcome such challenges & will ensure smooth timely implementation. Please consider if department can also include an e-Forms capabilities sections. e-Form technology is highly | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|------------|
| | | | recommended as department will be using digital signatures to signing the forms. Eforms encapsulate all the information at single place & multiple overlapping digital signatures can be implied on it. It is very hard to achieve same functionality using custom build application. Following features mentioned in the document will help department to overcome such challenges & will ensure smooth timely implementation. | |
| 199. | RFP for Selection of System Integrator for State Wide Roll-out of e-district MMP in Punjab– Volume II, Page 27, Electronic Forms | Please confirm if the eForms provide the online and offline capabilities to the citizen for filling and saving the data locally which can be uploaded once connected to the internet | Offline electronic forms solution would help to CSC block/tehsil will store minimal amount of data locally to ensure smooth usage when the connectivity to central server is not available. | As per RFP |
| 200. | Page 30, 4.3.2.2 | (c) Online configurable dashboards, reports, data analysis for decision support system | We understand that reporting and analysis of data collected is very critical and it will be essential. A solution to allow users to create their own data model and semantic layer on top either OLAP or of relational data source using MS Excel . Can you please confirm our understanding? | As per RFP |
| 201. | Page 49, 4.3.3. Network Connectivity | VI. System Integrator shall also provide NMS licenses, using which one should be able to monitor, from a central place, the health of all network devices under the eDistrict network. The NMS shall be compatible with the NMS used under PAWAN project. The details of which are provided under this RFP | We understand that as per the RFP requirements Network management solution is required to maintain performance, resource usage , Error statistics and present this information via displays , periodic reports and on -demand reports . In order to ensure that the solution also helps in monitoring the SLAs and track all the issues incidents and also provides tools to build a knowledge base which will act as tool to resolve the | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------|
| | | | similar issues in future and increase the operational | |
| | | | efficiency , the solution must provide following features: | |
| | | | 1- The solution should provide service level dashboard to | |
| | | | display list of applications and their performance and | |
| | | | availability against service level goals. | |
| | | | 2-The solution should be able to integrate with helpdesk | |
| | | | system for incidents. | |
| | | | 3- The solution should have an updateable knowledge | |
| | | | base for technical analysis and further help control center | |
| | | | users to search solutions for previously solved issues? | |
| | | | 4- The solution should not only monitor but also provide | |
| | | | diagnostics and recovery option | |
| | | | 5- The solution should have capability to orchestrate the | |
| | | | repeated administration tasks where workflows could be | |
| | | | created and the same time integrate with with NMS and | |
| | | | Helpdesk solution. | |
| | | | Can SI consider building e-district application on other | |
| | | | technologies (apart from Microsoft) without using existing | |
| 202. | General | Electronic Forms | pilot application code but to use business logic. And it will | As per RFP |
| 202. | | | provide all the features and functionalities which are | |
| | | | provided by pilot application. It will help SI's to over come | |
| | | | challenges faced by Microsoft technologies. | |
| | | | Please consider if department can also include an e-Forms | |
| | | | capabilities sections. e-Form technology is highly | |
| 203. | General | Electronic Forms | recommended as department will be using digital | As per RFP |
| | | | signatures to signing the forms. Eforms encapsulate all the | |
| | | | information at single place & multiple overlapping digital | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|------------|
| | | | signatures can be implied on it. It is very hard to achieve same functionality using custom build application. Following features mentioned in the document will help department to overcome such challenges & will ensure smooth timely implementation. | |
| | | Please confirm if the Mobility application platform support ability to write code with open standards (HTML5 / Javascript / CSS) once and deploy on multiple mobile operating systems | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| | Volume II, Page 32, XIII. eDistrict applications and services leveraging the | Please confirm if the Mobility application platform support Eclipse based IDE with drag and drop editor (HTML / JQuery/ Dojo) for building mobile application UI | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| 204. | Mobile Service Delivery Gateway (MSDG) and the Mobile App Store developed by DeitY. | Please confirm if the Mobility application platform support collection of usage statistics and BIRT reports for usage analysis | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| | | Please confirm if the Mobility application platform support direct update for web HTML resources and remote disable for application version by device environment | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| | | Mobility application platform should support ability to write code with open standards (HTML5 / Javascript / CSS) | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------|
| | | once and deploy on multiple mobile | | |
| | | operating systems | | |
| | | Mobility application platform should | | |
| | | support Eclipse based IDE with drag and | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility | As per RFP |
| | | drop editor (HTML / JQuery/ Dojo) for | application for making industry standard platform. | |
| | | building mobile application UI | | |
| | | Application Platform should support | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility | |
| | | collection of usage statistics and BIRT | application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| | | reports for usage analysis | | |
| | | Mobility application platform should | | |
| | | support app authenticity testing to | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility | As per RFP |
| | | prevent risk of phishing through | application for making industry standard platform. | |
| | | repackaging or app forgery | | |
| | | Mobility application platform should | | |
| | | support direct update for web HTML | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility application for making industry standard platform. | |
| | | resources and remote disable for | | As per RFP |
| | | application version by device | | |
| | | environment | | |
| | | Mobility Application Platform should be | Please consider this capability to be part of Mobility | |
| | | bundled with supported JDK and | application for making industry standard platform. | As per RFP |
| | | application server | | |
| | | | XFDL is a powerful forms definition syntax that promotes | |
| | | Please confirm if the eForms supported | application interoperability and adherence to worldwide | |
| 205. | Volume II, Page 27, Electronic | XFDL and Provides auditable, compliant | Internet standards. | As per RFP |
| | Forms | records | XFDL is a powerful forms definition syntax that promotes | |
| | | | application interoperability and adherence to worldwide | |
| | | | Internet standards. | |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Please confirm if the eForms tools for This functionality will help customer to minimize designing e-forms should not require Volume II, Page 27, Electronic development effort and uniform standards. programming for the replication of 206. As per RFP This functionality will help customer to minimize Forms paper forms into online XFDL and HTML development effort and uniform standards. forms Please confirm if the xml eForms server deliver a true Zero Footprint solution by This functionalities will help end users to avoid Volume II, Page 27, Electronic providing E-Forms to external users 207. As per RFP download/install any plug-ins for filling forms. Forms guickly and efficiently within a browser without requiring additional downloads or plug-ins. Please confirm if the XML e-forms should enable multiple and overlapping digital signatures on a single electronic form to ensure the integrity of the Volume II, Page 27, Electronic record, via multiple signature This functionalities will help end users to avoid 208. As per RFP Forms, Page 80, Point C 1 technology such as clickwrap, download/install any plug-ins for filling forms. authenticated clickwrap, PKI based digital certificate, digital signing pad (Interlink, Topaz, Wacom and WinTab1.1), and XML Dsignature. XIV. Should Support common protocol Such enablement would require the application stack to adapters for connection to back office have Mobility infrastructure such as server, middleware as RFP VOL 2 Section No 4.3.3.2 systems (i.e. HTTP, HTTPS, SOAP, XML a part of the DC infrastructure; and the same has not been SI needs to propose complete solution as per 209. Page No 32 for format) asked in the BOM or cost sheets. Is SI expected to quote requirements of the RFP. XV. Should support encrypted messaging for server, middleware, development and runtime server between server and client components. for mobility enablement. Please clarify, If yes, please

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|------------|
| | | XVI. Application platform should | provide line items in the BoM and price sheets. | |
| | | supports the following smart phone | | |
| | | mobile OS (Android 2.2, 2.3, 3.0, 4.0 and | | |
| | | above, iOS 4, 5 and above, Blackberry | | |
| | | 6.0 and above, Windows Phone OS 7.5, | | |
| | | Mobile Web App) | | |
| | | XVII. Should support integration with | | |
| | | native device API. | | |
| | | XVIII. Should support utilization of all | | |
| | | native device features.XIX. Should | | |
| | | support encrypted messaging between | | |
| | | server and client gateways. | | |
| | | XX. Should support the ability to log all | | |
| | | messages that pass through the server. | | |
| | | XXI. Support an app store to distribute | | |
| | | mobile apps to authenticated and | | |
| | | authorized users. | | |
| | | XXII. Should support encrypted storage | | |
| | | of application and application data. | | |
| | | XXIII. The application should be capable | | |
| | | to work with MS SQL server. | | |
| | | XXIV. Support the target packaging | | |
| | | components like (Mobile Website, | | |
| | | Hybrid App, Native App, Web App and | | |
| | | Application Development) | | |
| 210. | Page 24 -Vol II | 4.3.2.1 Point IV | e-District Functional Modules | As per RFP |
| | | | Do we need to Customize/enhance all the services | - F - |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | mentioned for different department under this section? | |
| 211. | Section 6.5.4.3, Page no. 116 | Backup server (For Disaster recovery site) | RFP talks about the Backup server in DR site. Please confirm if DR infrastructure and site preparation is not in scope | Refer to Corrigendum. |
| 212. | Antivirus | Solution Should be EAL 3+ certified and IPV6 attacks Ready. | Suggest to consider EAL certification criteria in HIPS or Encryption or Network Security based solution as Antivirus does not comply to EAL certification. | EAL certification is for HIPS. This clause stands deleted from the Antivirus specification. |
| 213. | Data protector | | RFP has restricted to other OEM to propose best breed system software for Management & Backup solution. In the same RFP you have also given technical specification for Management servers. Would request you please clarify do you require fresh EMS and Backup software solution/license or you will use existing only? | There is no existing EMS for eDistrict pilot project. SI need to provide a new backup solution catering to the requirements specified in the RFP and the Corrigendum and also subjected to the licensing conditions mentioned in the RFP. |
| 214. | Page no 32 of Voulme II | | The application should be capable to work with MS SQL server- does this mean our proposed solution will be based out of SQL server or it can be integrate with SQL server. | AS per RFP |
| 215. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.2.9. Application Architecture Page: 35 | | Please elaborate more on the Exception Manager and Need for a common Exception Manager. | AS per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| | the layer where all business rules will be defined. c. Server Software (database server tier) will be responsible for the manipulation | It is stated in RFP clause 4.2. Solution & Technology Architecture that the e-District application with all 47 services envisaged under the project is ready and piloted at 2 districts. 1.Please confirm if pilot application covers all 47 services, which has been successfully tested for all business scenarios. | | |
| 216. | | 2.it is assumed that designed pilot application is compliant with stated standards. Kindly confirm | The Pilot application details are part of the RFP. | |
| | | information to User. b. Middleware (application server tier) is the layer where all business rules will be | 3.Please confirm if pilot application has been successfully tested in high availability environment | |
| 217. | Volume_II Page No 20 of 158 4.3.1.1. Application Study and Design | | Do you have software version control infrastructure in place? If yes, please provide details of such infrastructure? If no, is setting up such infrastructure in scope of SI? | It is in SI's Scope. |
| 218. | Page 43, 4.3.2.19. | The SAP and SP Connectors will need to connect the e-district Business Layer. | Request you to Kindly illustrate this requirement | This is related to integration with SSDG. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|-------------|
| 219. | Volume_II.pdf, 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC, Page 56, System Software, S.No. 4, Management Server, Antivirus/ Anti Spam/ Anti Spy, | The systems implemented for project should be highly secure, considering that it is intended to handle sensitive data relating to the citizens of the state. | Securing critical application is one of the key requirements which in turn require industry standard web access management solution to protect application from internal\external threats and vulnerabilities. Application security model is not as strong or tested against all vulnerabilities e.g sql injection\cross site scripting attacks etc. and hence is vulnerable. There is a strong need for application security management tool that acts as safe guard and protects application by authenticating user and then passing control of user to application rather than user accessing application directly. Such tools are already tested against lot of vulnerabilities and block them from tampering application. Please confirm if there is requirement of such solution to protect eDistrict Application? | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|-------------|
| 220. | Backup Software | Security design should provide for a well-designed identity management system, security of physical and digital assets, data and network security, backup and recovery and disaster recovery system. | Most of the security risks today are associated with excess privileges i.e. people have more powers than what is required to perform their job. The solution to this problem is Privilege User Identity Management, which makes sure people only have enough rights that are required to perform their job adequately. Moreover, Privileged User Identity Management also takes care of the problem of ghost accounts, which are typically the accounts people retain even after leaving the Organization and likely also the first thing the security auditor will check being a high security concern. Hence, please confirm by well-designed identity management system you mean Identity Management should be considered for both privileged users and other departmental users. | As per RFP. |
| 221. | 6.5.4.8, 139 | Security design should provide for a well-designed identity management system, security of physical and digital assets, data and network security, backup and recovery and disaster recovery system. | It is part of the security best practice and strongly recommended to have a defense in depth security strategy and have a security layer for privilege user management across OS, databases and applications as per the role of the user (which is on the need to have basis). Therefore, It is recommended to have a complete and integrated solution for all aspects of Privileged User Management i.e. for Operating Systems (Unix/Linux/Windows), databases, applications and network devices from a single console to keep a complete track of privileged activity and entitlements through temper proof auditing and reporting. Specifically the | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | following points should be part of the overarching security | |
| | | | requirements which has been asked in the rfp: | |
| 222. | Volume_II.pdf, 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC, Page 56, System Software, S.No. 4, Management Server, Antivirus/ Anti Spam/ Anti Spy, Backup Software | | Superuser (Administrator/Root) containment Role-based access control Fine-grained enforcement File and directory controls | As per RFP. |
| 223. | Volume_II 4.3.2.9. Application Architecture Page: 35 | | • Trusted program execution | |
| | | | Windows registry protection | |
| | Volume_II Page No 17 of 158 | | Impersonation control | |
| 224. | 4.1.1. State Specific | | Windows services protection | |
| | Requirements | | Application jailing | |
| 225. | Volume_II Page No 20 of 158 4.3.1.1. Application Study and Design | Two factor authentication for all administrators i.e. system administrators, network administrators, database administrators. | • UNIX/Linux keyboard logger (KBL) Are you looking for software based two factor authentication or hardware based tokens to achieve two factor authentication | There should be user-ID & Password and digital signature based authentication for the key users. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
| 226. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | The overall technology solution shall be based upon most relevant and suitable architecture standards including standards for Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), XML services & necessary protocols for internet applications, Data Centre standards, Localization (Unicode, Inscript, etc.) standards, W3C standards & GIGW guidelines, etc. | It is assumed that current application is designed with these considerations. Kindly confirm our assumption. In case of any gaps or non- compliance, request you to highlight the same. | The pilot application details are provided in the RFP. |
| 227. | Data protector, | Please clarify here the meaning of "Symantec Data protector" is this single product serve all three line of item which you specified in the RFP or required some other product. | RFP has restricted to other OEM to propose best breed system software for Management & Backup solution. In the same RFP you have also given technical specification for Management servers. Would request you please clarify do you require fresh EMS and Backup software solution/license or you will use existing only? In industry there are equal / better backup solution are available to protect the data like IBM Tivoli, Request to incorporate IBM Tivoli also in backup software section this would optimize solution and license cost. We understand that system integrator can propose equal or better fresh product from other OEM, please confirm. | SI need to provide a new backup solution catering to the requirements specified in the RFP and the Corrigendum and also subjected to the licensing conditions mentioned in the RFP |
| 228. | Backup Software | Please confirm if proposed Backup server Solution shall be available on 64 bit OS platforms and shall have the capability to support for all major Operating systems. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | SI need to provide a new backup solution catering to the requirements specified in the RFP and the Corrigendum and also subjected to the licensing conditions mentioned in the RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| 229. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup server provide a user-friendly enterprise console that enables the administrator to manage the Storage Manager from any platform in the enterprise via a Web-based interface. This should allow the administrator to navigate, logon and perform function | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 230. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup server have full backup of data base systems shall be possible to be taken without bringing the production system down, with full data base consistency and without affecting the performance to the users in any way. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 231. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup Software shall offer Extensive reporting capabilities to monitor the health of Backups. Shall support HTML, TEXT and CSV outputs. It shall support scheduled automated generation of the report on a daily basis. And also shall be integrated with SMS | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 232. | Backup Software | Please confirm if the backup software must provide near real time monitoring and reporting of the backup environment. It should provide a graphical representation and monitoring of trends and current status. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 233. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall support event notification to notify backup administrator about events like Job Failed or Job aborted etc | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 234. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall support LAN FREE backup in SAN environments. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 235. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall support Scanning of Tape media to rebuild catalogs and indexes in case of disaster. It shall be supported thru Software GUI or through Command line utilities | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 236. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall offer centralized management console to remotely monitor backups | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 237. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall support Raw device backup of Windows/Linux/UNIX based system | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 238. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup software shall support online backup of all the database & shall support both Online and RMAN to perform online backup. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 239. | Backup Software | Please confirm if the backup software support software item level restoration, including restoration of a single mail, calendar item or notes for a MS Exchange environment. The backup software must be capable of supporting online backup of MS Exchange systems running in a Hyper V on Windows 2008. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 240. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 241. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 242. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| 243. | Backup Software | Please confirm if backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 244. | Backup Software | Please confirm if the backup software licensed to use disk, LTO Tape libaries and Virtual Tape Libraries for backups via SAN or through NDMP. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 245. | Backup Software | Please confirm if the backup software have the feature to backup on to the Diskpool and later migrate to the Tape without intervention. The Diskpool space should not be limited to a physical Disk drive capacity. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 246. | Page 56 | Backup Software - Data protector | Kindly confirm the SI has to use the existing backup software or can use the any other backup tool as deemed necessary. Kindly confirm the required Agents for the current project such as Agent for SQL, Agent for the Windows Servers, Agents for the Active Directory are available. | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| | | | 3. Kindly confirm in case Backup of the Client desktop / Laptop is also required | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 247. | Backup Software | It should provide a user-friendly enterprise console that enables the administrator to manage the Storage Manager from any platform in the enterprise via a Web-based interface. This should allow the administrator to navigate, logon and perform function | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 248. | Backup Software | Full backup of data base systems shall be possible to be taken without bringing the production system down, with full data base consistency and without affecting the performance to the users in any way. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 249. | Backup Software | Backup Software shall offer Extensive reporting capabilities to monitor the health of Backups. Shall support HTML, TEXT and CSV outputs. It shall support scheduled automated generation of the report on a daily basis. And also shall be integrated with SMS | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 250. | Backup Software | The backup software must provide near real time monitoring and reporting of the backup environment. It should provide a graphical representation and monitoring of trends and current status. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 251. | Backup Software | Software shall support event notification to notify backup administrator about events like Job Failed or Job aborted etc | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 252. | Backup Software | Backup software shall support LAN FREE backup in SAN environments. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 253. | Backup Software | Software shall support Scanning of Tape media to rebuild catalogs and indexes in case of disaster. It shall be supported thru Software GUI or through Command line utilities | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 254. | Backup Software | Software shall offer centralized management console to remotely monitor backups | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 255. | Backup Software | Software shall support Raw device backup of Windows/Linux/UNIX based system | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 256. | Backup Software | Software shall support online backup of all the database & shall support both Online and RMAN to perform online backup. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| 257. | Backup Software | The backup software must software item level restoration, including restoration of a single mail, calendar item or notes for a MS Exchange environment. The backup software must be capable of supporting online backup of MS Exchange systems running in a Hyper V on Windows 2008. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 258. | Backup Software | Backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 259. | Backup Software | Backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 260. | Backup Software | Backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 261. | Backup Software | Backup Software, consider this additional capability to make this more agile technology and optimize backup solution cost. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| 262. | Backup Software | The backup software must be licensed to use disk, LTO Tape libaries and Virtual Tape Libraries for backups via SAN or through NDMP. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 263. | Backup Software | The software must have the feature to backup on to the Diskpool and later migrate to the Tape without intervention. The Diskpool space should not be limited to a physical Disk drive capacity. | In case if you require fresh backup software so would you please consider this point for Backup Software Solution | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 264. | Page 48 Volume II 4.3.8. Licenses | I. The system software licenses mentioned in the Bill of Materials/ proposed by SI as per solution shall be genuine, perpetual, full use and should provide upgrades, patches, fixes, security patches and updates directly from the OEM. All the licenses and support (updates, patches, bug fixes, etc.) shall be in the name of Punjab State e-Governance Society. | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: I. The system software licenses mentioned in the Bill of Materials/ proposed by SI as per solution shall be genuine, for the duration of the project, full use and should provide patches, fixes, security patches and updates directly from the OEM. All the licenses and support (updates, patches, bug fixes, etc.) shall be in the name of Punjab State e- Governance Society and shall be governed the terms of the applicable end user license agreement (EULA). | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|------------|
| 265. | | II. The SI shall provide PSeGS with a full use database license. All the licenses and support (updates, patches, bug fixes, etc.) shall be in the name of Punjab State e-Governance Society. SI shall provide a comprehensive warranty that covers all components after the issuance of the final acceptance by Punjab State e-Governance Society. The warranty should cover all materials, licenses, services, and support for both hardware and software. SI shall administer warranties with serial number and warranty period. SI shall transfer all the warranties to the Punjab State e-Governance Society at no additional charge at the time of termination of the project. All warranty documentation (no expiry) will be delivered to Department. | II. The SI shall provide PSeGS with a full use database license. All the licenses and support (updates, patches, bug fixes, etc.) shall be in the name of Punjab State e- Governance Society. SI shall provide a comprehensive warranty that covers all components after the issuance of the final acceptance by Punjab State e-Governance Society. The warranty should cover all materials, licenses, services, and support for both hardware and software. SI shall administer warranties with serial number and warranty period. SI shall transfer all the warranties to the Punjab State e-Governance Society at no additional charge at the time of termination of the project subject to agreement of relevant third party on such transfer. All warranty documentation (no expiry) will be delivered to Department. | As per RFP |
| 266. | Page 61 Volume II 4.5. General Requirements Page 80 | 4.5. General RequirementsI. Licensing RequirementsA. All system software, licenses, etc.have to be procured in the name of thePunjab State e-Governance Society | Bidder suggests that this provision be revised as under: 4.5. General Requirements I. Licensing Requirements A. All system software, licenses, etc. have to be procured in the name of the Punjab State e-Governance Society and shall be governed the terms of the applicable end user license agreement (EULA). | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | | B. The licenses should be perpetual and enterprise wide for the core application and other software unless otherwise stated. The software licenses shall not be restricted based on location and the Punjab State e-Governance Society should have the flexibility to use the software licenses for other requirements, if required | B. The licenses should be for the duration of the project and enterprise wide for the core application and other software unless otherwise stated. The software licenses shall not be restricted based on location and the Punjab State e-Governance Society should have the flexibility to use the software licenses for other requirements, if required | As per RFP |
| 267. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | The eDistrict application will be hosted in secure environment of SDC. Logs would be provided / analyzed at SDC not at the equipment level. |
| 268. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 269. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 270. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | Please confirm if the SIEM solution provide over 1300 'canned' out-of-the- box reports for specific compliance regulations (PCI, SOX, FISMA,ISO 27001) and control frameworks including (NIST, | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|------------|
| | | CoBIT, ISO). | | |
| | | | | |
| 271. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | Please confirm if the SIEM solution must be capable of doing network behavior analysis | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 272. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | Please confirm if the SIEM solution must be capable of detecting anomalies in the environment | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 273. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | Please confirm if the SIEM solution must support distributed database and built on a flat file system for performance. | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 274. | Volume II., Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The proposed SIEM must be in the Gartner leader quadrant for the last 3 years. | | As per RFP |
| 275. | Volume II., Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The proposed SIEM must be able to correlation across flows and events . | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|----------------------|
| 276. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The solution must support a distributed database for event and network activity collection such that all information can be access from a single user interface. | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 277. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The solution must provide over 1300 'canned' out-of-the-box reports for specific compliance regulations (PCI, SOX, FISMA,ISO 27001) and control frameworks including (NIST, CoBIT, ISO). | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 278. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The solution must be capable of doing network behavior analysis | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 279. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The solution must be capable of detecting anomalies in the environment | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 280. | Page 70 to 73:- :4.3.12.1. Information Security Management | The solution must support distributed database and built on a flat file system for performance. | Do you need Security Information and Event Management solutions if yes so please consider this as part of security. | As per RFP |
| 281. | Page 33 of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | Security features: includes client security features like credential (key) store, enterprise single sign-on, two- factor authentication, enhanced Web services security, RSA encryption | Are you looking for Single Sign-On system across all applications based on a user role so that a user can access various services based on the role ? | Refer to Corrigendum |

S. **RFP Document (Section &** Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. The application should enable single-Page 34 - "4.3.2.4. Single-Sign sign-on so that any user once On" of authenticated and authorized by system Do you require application security model to support 282. Refer to Corrigendum "RFP eDistrict State Wide R is not required to be re-authorized for single-sign on for users across security domains? oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" completing any of the services in the same session. Security features: includes client Page 33 of security features like credential (key) Are you looking for Single Sign-On system across all "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R Refer to Corrigendum 283. store, enterprise single sign-on, twoapplications based on a user role so that a user can access oll Out Punjab Volume II" factor authentication, enhanced Web various services based on the role ? services security, RSA encryption The application should enable single-sign-on. Can you 4.3.2.4. Single-Sign On, Pg 34, Single sign on for employees and users 284. state on how should the application behave after this is Refer to Corrigendum Vol 2 integrated with state portal? The application should enable singlesign-on so that any user once Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.2. authenticated and authorized by system Is there any existing Single Sign On solution in use? Refer to Corrigendum 285. Software is not required to be re-authorized for Development/Customization completing any of the services in the same session. The application should enable singlesign-on so that any user once 4.3.2.4. Page: 34Single-Sign authenticated and authorized by system **Refer to Corrigendum** 286. Please specify the number of users (Internal, External etc). On Ref Doc: Volume II is not required to be re-authorized for completing any of the services in the same session.

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|----------------------|
| 287. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.2.4. Single-Sign On Page: 34 | For employees of the department concerned, the browser based application accessed on the intranet, through single-sign-on mechanism, will provide access to all the services of the departments concerned (based on their roles and responsibilities) | Please specify the average number of roles per application. Is there any solution available to manage roles across various applications? | Refer to Corrigendum |
| 288. | Ref Doc: Volume_II | The application should enable single- sign-on so that any user once authenticated and authorized by system is not required to be re-authorized for completing any of the services in the same session. | For Single Sign on there is a need of common logging mechanism for the participating applications. Is there any logging server already in use? | Refer to Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|
| 289. | Page 78, 4.4.3. Annual Technical Support | Updates / Upgrades / New releases / New versions / Patches / Bug fixes: The SI shall provide from time to time the Updates / Upgrades / New releases / New versions / Patches / Bug fixes of the software, operating systems, etc. as required | We understand that as per the RFP requirement Automated Patch Management tools shall be provided to expedite the distribution of patches to the system . In order to effectively manage the IT assets in such a highly distributed scenario the Patch management solution must have following features: 1- The solution should monitor and evaluate client desktop/laptop health across the client environments. it should also display the client health evaluation results and the client activities directly in the console, providing alerting and remediation capabilities if health statistics fall below established thresholds. 2- The solution should include asset intelligence that provide administrators with continuous visibility into hardware and software assets and usage. 3- The solution should provide automated Desktop deployment in case a reinstallation is required for any desktop/laptop 4- The solution should provide auto remediate feature based on compliance configurations set on the machine or machines. This would drastically reduce the time to bring the machine back in compliance. Can you please confirm our understanding? | SI has to ensure the patch management. |
| 290. | Page 139 | Solution should be open, distributed, and scalable and open to third party integration. | Overall EMS solution design & its respective hardware sizing should be as per OEM guidelines to support scalability of solution Kindly acknowledge. | Modified as: Solution should be scalable and open to third party integration. |

S. **RFP Document (Section &** Points of clarification Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) Response No. Page Number(s)) By this do you mean that the solution should monitor the Page 72 of The proposed solution should be able to The proposed solution should be able to IP address of the end machine used by the end users "RFP eDistrict State Wide R monitor the IP address of the system capture the IP address of the system from 291. (citizen, admins, employees, etc) from where the request oll Out Punjab Volume II from where a request is received. where a request is received. is received. 4.3.2. Software Please clarify that the fine grained user Authorization is Pilot application details are provided in the 292. Development/Customization being taken care of by the respective applications. RFP. e-District Application monitoring can be done using an industry standard APM tool which will help the state to Monitoring and Management Page 92 of 293. As per RFP Requirements analyze the End-User experience while accessing the e-District web application in real time. An APM tool will also help the state to identify the root I. The e-District Solution should provide cause of the problems related & within the application, "RFP eDistrict State Wide R As per RFP monitoring and management of the reduce MTTR for issues ensuring a smooth & seamless 294. oll_O entire Solution including all software service delivery. Please confirm if the bidders should ut_Punjab_Volume_II" provision for an Industry standard CA Application &

Database Performance Management tool ?

components and application.

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| 295. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | The systems implemented for project should be highly secure, considering that it is intended to handle sensitive data relating to the citizens of the state. | Securing critical application is one of the key requirements which in turn require industry standard web access management solution to protect application from internal\external threats and vulnerabilities. Application security model is not as strong or tested against all vulnerabilities e.g sql injection\cross site scripting attacks etc. and hence is vulnerable .There is a strong need for application security management tool that acts as safe guard and protects application by authenticating user and then passing control of user to application rather than user accessing application directly .Such tools are already tested against lot of vulnerabilities and block them from tampering application. Please confirm if there is requirement of such solution to protect eDistrict Application? | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| 296. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | Security design should provide for a well-designed identity management system, security of physical and digital assets, data and network security, backup and recovery and disaster recovery system. | Most of the security risks today are associated with excess privileges i.e. people have more powers than what is required to perform their job. The solution to this problem is privilege User Identity Management, which makes sure people only have enough rights that are required to perform their job adequately. Moreover, privilege User Identity Management also takes care of the problem of ghost accounts, which are typically the accounts people retain even after leaving the Organization and likely also the first thing the security auditor will check being a high security concern. Hence, please confirm by well-designed identity management system you mean Identity Management should be considered for both priviledge users and other departmental users. | As per RFP |
| 297. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | Security design should provide for a well-designed identity management system, security of physical and digital assets, data and network security, backup and recovery and disaster recovery system. | It is part of the security best practice and strongly recommended to have a defense in depth security strategy and have a security layer for privilege user management across OS, databases and applications as per the role of the user (which is on the need to have basis). Therefore, It is recommended to have a complete and integrated solution for all aspects of Privileged User Management i.e. for Operating Systems (Unix/Linux/Windows), databases, applications and network devices from a single console to keep a complete track of privileged activity and entitlements through temper proof auditing and reporting. Specifically the following points should be part of the overarching security | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| | | | requirements which has been asked in the rfp: | |
| 298. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.12.1. Information Security Management Page: 70 | The proposed solution should provide security including identification, authentication, authorization, access control, administration and audit and support for industry standard protocols | Please specify whether web access control or system level access control is required. | As per RFP |
| 299. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 4.3.2.8. Security Page: 35 | The security services used to protect the solution shall include: Identification, Authentication, Access Control, Administration and Audit and support for industry standard protocols. | Please elaborate more about the auditing requirements | As per RFP |
| 300. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | The systems implemented for project should be highly secure, considering that it is intended to handle sensitive data relating to the citizens of the state. | Securing critical application is one of the key requirements which in turn require industry standard web access management solution to protect application from internal\external threats and vulnerabilities. Application security model is not as strong or tested against all vulnerabilities e.g sql injection\cross site scripting attacks etc. and hence is vulnerable .There is a strong need for application security management tool that acts as safe guard and protects application by authenticating user and then passing control of user to application rather than user accessing application directly .Such tools are already tested against lot of vulnerabilities and block them from tampering application. Please confirm if there is requirement of such solution to protect eDistrict Application? | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| 301. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Security Features, Page 136 | Security features | The router should per-user Authentication and Authorization, transparent firewall, VRF-aware firewall, Http and email inspection engine to detect port 80 misuses and email connectivity | As per RFP |
| 302. | Technical BOM | The Solution description, Functional & Non-Functional sections describe various critical solution components like Workflow Engine, Off-line Services, Mobility Services, Security Solutions, CMS etc. Kindly confirm and specify if these components are part of Technical BOM and Specifications section also. | Please mention Detailed BOM for commercial section so as to get all the bidders on the similar platform | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 303. | Critical Solution Components | Kindly specify if the various critical components of the solution as envisaged in the tender for an integrated service delivery solution such as CMS, Workflow engine, Mobility, Off- line Services etc. should be standard global industry accepted products. | Kindly confirm if it is required to use Global Established Leading Component Tools and Use these leading tools for benefits like Scalability, Well Tested, Inter-operability, Standards Adherence, Standard Product development and Updates etc. | As per RFP |
| 304. | Backup/NMS/Security/Anti- Virus | The RFP requires the bidder to propose Backup/NMS/Security/Anti-Virus components. We understand that the RFP allows the bidder to propose fresh solutions for these components. Kindly specify the detailed requirements and technical specifications for the same. | | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 205 | Page 121, 6.5.4.8. | Office suite Preloaded productivity suite such as Open office | 1. We recommend to kindly change Open Office to most commonly/widely used and adopted office productivity software "MS Office suite (Including minimum Word, Excel, Powerpoint, Outlook) due to Easy of Use, better user acceptability. | As per RFP |
| 305. | | | 2. Since most of the user's are already familiar with the Microsoft office suite products it will be easier for them to use it. | As per RFP |
| | | | 3. MS office provides unicode based and state of the art office productivity features. | As per RFP |
| 306. | 6.5.4.14, 126 | Should be supported on multiple operating systems: Microsoft Windows, Solaris , Red Hat Enterprise & Suse Linux, etc | Suggest to also consider Operating Systems such as AIX and HP-UX | As Per RFP |
| 307. | 4.3.6. Supply / Procurement of IT Infrastructure at SDC Page: 56 | Management Server Antivirus/ Anti Spam/ Anti Spy Backup Software Symantec Data protector | Please mention what kind of data protection like threat protection at system level, data leakage (DLP solution), email content filtering etc. is needed. | Existing Components has been mentioned for better understanding of Bidders. |
| 308. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 6.1. Non- Functional Requirements Page: 92 | Encryption Confidentiality of sensitive information and data of users and portal information should be ensured. | Is data encryption over communication channel needed? or the data residing at the end user's system needs to be encrypted? | As Per RFP |
| 309. | Volume II. , Page 139 to 142: 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool | Please confirm if the NMS and EMS software runs on scalable database systems such as Oracle, MS SQL and DB2. | Open source or some generic databases like Mysql, Ms access and postgress etc. challenges to get the support and then move the systems to some different databases is difficult and costly option. | There is no existing NMS/ EMS in pilot eDistrict. Existing PAWAN NMS details are part of the RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| 310. | Page 139 of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | Bidder should quote any Standard / open EMS Software for monitoring application, services, & SLA along with Helpdesk tool for E-district Users along with all the necessary Hardware, DB, OS, patches, etc. | An open EMS may also not able to achieve this requirement written at page 140 of the RFP "For integrations with other EMS/NMS tools, various options for integration should be provided - APIs, web services, SDKs." | As per RFP |
| 311. | Page 35 - "4.3.2.8. Security" | Security design should provide for a well-designed identity management system, security of physical and digital assets, data and network security, backup and recovery and disaster recovery system. | Superuser (Administrator/Root) containment Role-based access control Fine-grained enforcement File and directory controls Trusted program execution Windows registry protection Impersonation control Windows services protection Application jailing UNIX/Linux keyboard logger (KBL) | As per RFP |
| 312. | 117 / 6.5.4.4 / Blade Chassis / OS Support | The server should support flavors of Enterprise Windows/ Enterprise Linux Operating Systems | The Blade Chassis should support servers with flavours of Enterprise Windows/ Enterprise Linux / Enterprise UNIX Operating Systems. It will be beneficial for Punjab Govt to invest in an IT Infrastructure which provides support around maximum technologies in the market, including the Operating Systems. | Other than proposed OS, The server should support flavours of Enterprise Windows/ Enterprise Linux Operating Systems |
| 313. | | Two hot-plug, redundant 1Gbps Managed Ethernet module, with minimum 4 port copper switch uplink (to the external Ethernet at 10/100/1000 Mbps) and minimum 10 | Two hot-plug, redundant 10Gbps capable I/O modules, with minimum 4 port of 10Gbps per IO module for switch uplink (to the external Ethernet at 10/100/1000/10000 Mbps) and minimum 14 port embedded gigabit down link (which connects each blade server at 1Gbps). Module | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| | | port embedded gigabit down link (which | should be (Internal/external) having Layer 3 functionality - | |
| | | connects each blade server at 1Gbps). | routing, filtering, traffic queuing etc. | |
| | | Module should be (Internal/external) | The Blade Chassis should be configured with redundant 24 | |
| | | having Layer 3 functionality - routing, | Ports (16 downlink and 8 uplink) SAN Switches of 8Gbps.t | As per RFP |
| | | filtering, traffic queuing etc. | is recommended that the Ethernet Modules asked have | |
| | | | 10Gbps Uplink Ports. | |
| | | | Also, the Blade Chassis did not had any mention of the | Bidder has to propose the solution as per their |
| | | | Fibre Channel Switches required for connectivity to SAN. | offering with all required accessories. |
| | | | | |
| | | DVD RW can be internal or external, | As this is a blade solution and all the blades are managed | |
| 314. | VOL2>page 117>6.5.4.4> Blade Chassis> OS Support | which can be shared by all the blades | remotely using remote access capability, so there is not | |
| | | allowing remote installation of S/W and | specific need for DVD RW to be connected. | |
| | | OS | Please remove this clause | |
| | | | There is a requirement of the chassis SAN Switch/ | As per RFP |
| | | | Passthrough for storage connectivity. As HBA are already | |
| 315. | VOL2>page 117>6.5.4.4> | | mentioned in the blades so please mention SAN Switch/ | |
| | Blade Chassis> | | pass-through module requirement. Please add the point " Redundant FC SAN Switches/ FC Pass-through to be | |
| | | | provided in the Chassis as per the solution requirement" | |
| | | 2 No. of Quad Core processor, minimum | 4 No. of Octa-Core processor, minimum 2.0 GHz clock | |
| 316. | | 2.0 GHz clock speed or equivalent / | speed or equivalent / subsequently better. The CPU should | |
| | 115 / 6.5.4.1 / Database | subsequently better. The CPU should be | be of latest generation at the time of bidding i.e. Vendor | |
| | Server / Processor | of latest generation at the time of | should offer the highest clock speed and cache supported | As per RFP |
| | | bidding i.e. Vendor should offer the | on the offered model with latest supported/ compatible | |
| | | highest clock speed and cache | server chipset. | |
| | | | | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|-------------|
| | | supported on the offered model with latest supported/ compatible server chipset. | The same server should be scalable to additional 4 processors in future. It will be in the interest of the Punjab e-Gov Project to include the latest generation of processors are based on 6, 8, 10, 16 core technologies. | As per RFP |
| 317. | 115 / 6.5.4.1 / Database Server / Main Memory | The system should be configured with minimum 128 GB RAM (ECC), scalable up-to 512 GB (ECC). Minimum 2 free slots for future expandable capability | The system should be configured with minimum 256 GB RAM (ECC), scalable up-to 2TB (ECC). Minimum 2 free slots for future expandable capability. Please have more memory in the Database server and also mention the scalability requirements on the memory. | As per RFP. |
| 318. | 115 / 6.5.4.1 / Database Server / Network Interface | Dual port 10/100/1000 Mbps Ethernet Adapter, with no single point of failure | The server should be configured with 4 x 10Gbps Ethernet Ports (for Data) and 2 x 1Gbps (for Cluster).Please look at 10Gbps technology for Ethernet. Also it is important to have separate ports of 1Gbps for Cluster Network. | As per RFP |
| 319. | Vol-11, page 29, integration of digital Signature with e- District application | Whether server side verification is required or not? | If so, server side form signer component need to be procured. | As per RFP |
| 320. | 116 / 6.5.4.2 / Other Server / Memory | 64 GB ECC DDR3-SDRAM DIMMs minimum 2 free slots for future expandable capability | 128 GB ECC DDR3-SDRAM DIMMs minimum 2 free slots for future expandable capability. It is Recommended to have more memory and also mention the scalability requirements on the memory. | As per RFP. |
| 321. | 116 / 6.5.4.2 / Other Server / Memory Scalability | Minimum 256 GB | Minimum 512 GB.It will be useful to have more memory scalability in Blade servers | As per RFP |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Dualport 10Gbps Ethernet Card with Ethernet/iSCSI/FCoE capabilities. Kindly look at 10Gbps technology with the 116 / 6.5.4.2 / Other Server / 1000BASE-T Gigabit Ethernet Adapter 322. ports having capability to handle IP/iSCSI/FCoE packets as As per RFP Ethernet Adapter well. This will bring more flexibility and choice for the government. Should have redundant 4/8 Gbps Fibre 116 / 6.5.4.2 / Other Server / Dual port 8Gbps Fibre Channel HBA 323. As per RFP SAN Connectivity **Channel HBA** I/O expansion slot for up gradation of The Blade Server should have at least 2 free PCI-e slots for 116 / 6.5.4.2 / Other Server / 324. As per RFP Ethernet Adapter or Infiniband IO Expansion future expansion on Network 200 GB 2.5" HS SAS Hard Disk Drive are not standard in the VOL2>page 116>6.5.4.2> Two 200 GB 2.5" SAS Hard Disk Drive Industry. Modified as: Two 300 GB / Two 200 GB 2.5" 325. Other Server> Hard Disk Please change the requirement to 300GB 2.5" HS SAS hot swappable system disk SAS Hard Disk Drive hot swappable system disk Drives Hard Disk Drive This is not clear whether we are asking for Port redundancy or Card level redundancy. Moreover as this VOL2>page 116>6.5.4.2> Should have redundant 4/8 Gbps Fibre Other Server> SAN server is a Blade Server, so redundant HBA will not be As per RFP 326. **Channel HBA** Connectivity feasible rather Redundant ports for SAN connectivity are needed. Please amend the clause to: The Router should be able to support a Stateful and Zone Based Firewall. As you have already asked for firewall requirement, please ask for statefull and zone based firewall. Zone-Based Policy 6.5.4.5. Router, Security 327. **Firewall feature** Firewall changes the OS Stateful Inspection model from As per RFP Features, Page 136 'interface-based' model to a more flexible, easierunderstood zone-based configuration model. Router interfaces are assigned to security zones, and firewall inspection policy is applied to traffic moving between the

Response to Queries Related to Request for Proposal (RFP) for State Wide Roll Out of eDistrict Project in Punjab

Page 108 of 142

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| | | Dester Mischendelhouse at least 2 v 100 | zones. Also as first level of defense for local location router should have capability of IPS to detect and prevent any malicious traffic. | |
| 328. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, Page 124 | Ports: Mi should have at least 2 x 10G interfaces, 12 x 10/100/1000 GE interfaces & 8 x GbE SFP slots or 10/100/1000 Shared Interfaces. minimum 4 10/100/1000 mbps Ethernet ports | Clarifications: Please note ports requirement in not clear the way it is mentioned in RFP, kindly clarify the total numbers of ports required and expected scalability clearly | The proposed appliance should have minimum 12 x 10/100/1000 Gigabit Ethernet interfaces, 8 x GbE SFP slots and 2 x 10GbE SFP+ interfaces. The appliance should have additional 2 x 10/100/1000 GE interfaces for Management. |
| 329. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, Page 124 | Firewall Throughput (1518 / 512 / 64 byte UDP packets) should be 20 / 20 / 20 Gbps. | Please amend this to: Firewall should provide real world performance of 20 Gbps. Real world profile should include but not limited to HTTP, Bit Torrent, FTP, SMTP and IMAPv4. Performance throughput asked is for real world traffic and not just only on UDP. The Bidder should submit Test Reports ascertaining real world performance as asked for In large enterprise Internet Edge environments the majority of the traffic patterns seen are more than 95% TCP traffic. Pure UDP based performance nos. would not scale & provide the required throughput in real-world environment of TCP traffic. Hence strongly suggest to not accept UDP based performance nos. | As per RFP |
| 330. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, Page 124 | Certification: The firewall shall belong to product family which minimally attain Internet Computer Security Association (ICSA) Firewall Product Criteria 4.1 Certification. | Please amend this to : Certification: The firewall shall belong to product family which minimally attain Internet Computer Security Association (ICSA) Firewall Product Criteria 4.1 Certification/ EAL 4 Certified/ Approved Protection Profile for that product category at http://www.niap-ccevs.org/ | Certification: The firewall shall belong to product family which minimally attain Internet Computer Security Association (ICSA) Firewall Product Criteria 4.1 Certification/ EAL 4 Certified/ Approved Protection Profile for that product category at http://www.niap- |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|------------|
| | | | The Common Criteria (CC) is one standard to which security products are evaluated. CC product certifications are mutually recognized by 26 nations, thus an evaluation that is conducted in one country is recognized by the other supporting countries. To learn more, please see http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/. So please allow certifications from common criteria portal also as you have | ccevs.org/ |
| 331. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, Page 124 | Intrusion Prevention System: IPS throughput should be 6 Gbps. | asked for other products. Please amend this to: IPS throughput should be 5 Gbps. Please note with respect to the 20 Gbps of overall asked firewall throughput, 5 Gbps of IPS through would be sufficient, As IPS would be deployed for certain segment of traffic. So request you to amend this to 5 Gbps in order for leading OEMs to qualify the requirement. | As per RFP |
| 332. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, page 124 | Firewall Throughput (Packets Per Second) should be 31 Mpps | Pls. remove this clause Firewall performance is generally measured on the standard factors like sessions per second and throughput whereas, performance in PPS is generally considered for pure Routing platforms. Request you to kindly relax this clause. | As per RFP |
| 333. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, page 124 | Firewall should support 7 Million concurrent sessions | Firewall should support 5 Million concurrent sessions with respect to 1,90000 CPS, 7 mill concurrent sesions is on a higher side and will only add cost to the product positioning, and may force certain OEM's to up-position higher model then actually required. pls. amend the same as requested to allow all the leading OEM's to participate. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| 334. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, page 125 | IPS should have inbuilt Fail-open hardware bypass for 2 pairs of 10/100/1000 interfaces | Pls. remove this clause Hardware bypass is a standard feature of dedicated IPS solution. In case of integrated solutions like firell with IPS, this obstruct the normal functioning of firewall module in case of IPS module failure. Request you to pls. relax this clause | As per RFP |
| 335. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, page 125 | The proposed system shall support multiple heartbeat links | Pls. remove this clause This is specific to OEM, every OEM has different architecture for active and active passive deployment and heartbeat check. | We are not looking for any specific / proprietary technology / product. The proposed system shall support High Availability requirements such as support multiple heartbeat links or similar / equivalent methods. |
| 336. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool Page No :140 | Solution should automatically provide solutions from the knowledge base. | Is there an existing pool of solutions (Knowledge Base, KE) and does it needs to be integrated with the proposed solution? | There is no existing knowledge base. |
| 337. | General | General | Would the Service Desk handle different department tickets separately (e.g. IT will have a different SD/HD than HR department i.e. segregation)? | Service Desk would handle tickets raised by e- District users related to application / Hardware etc. |
| 338. | Ref Doc: Volume_II 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool Page No :140 | Should support automatic assignment of ticket to the right skilled resource based on business priority Ex - Database crash issue need not be assigned to an DBA unless the business service is completely down. | Auto assignment would be based on which parameters such as Categories, Groups, Locations etc? | Such Configuration details shall be discussed with successful bidders. Bidders can propose / suggest assignment methodology. |

RFP Document (Section & S. Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) **Points of clarification** Response Page Number(s)) No. Federation of external data sources 1) Do you already have a documented CMDB 'next steps' 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / should be possible with ability to store No or strategy? Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring common attributes inside CMDB and 339. Tool Page no:141 getting other attributes from external 2) Is there a CMDB (or set of CMDB's) already in place? (If No existing CMDB data sources in real time. so, where and how is it used)? 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / SI to suggest the Change Management and Do you have formalized Change Management and control Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Capacity Building Plans which will be reviewed 340. Change Management in place? Tool Page no:140 and approved by the SPMU & PSeGS. Configuration Management The Database should support multiple 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / SI has to suggest the configuration Do you have a formalized Configuration Management datasets with federation and Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Management Process and shall be responsible 341. reconciliation facilities so as to get data process in place Tool Page no:141 for version control. from various discovery tools and also through manual import process. Please confirm if the Service Desk Now days visibility is highly required organization ask to Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring tools have Volume II., Page 139 to 142: save the cost on IT infrastructure components, therefore 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Predictive analysis and capacity planning this feature helps to get the reports on future forecasting 342. As per RFP Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring as part of solution which helps to which can help to take the decision to add additional identify the future need of various Tool resources into systems. resources Please confirm if the Service Desk / Volume II., Page 139 to 142: Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring tools have 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / For the ease of administrator and time saving prospective 343. patch management system which has As per RFP Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring all patch management work should be from same console. capability to manage desktops and Tool servers from same common console.

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|----------------------|
| 344. | Volume II. ,Page 139 to 142: 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool | Please confirm if the Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring tool have software application which can cater the requirement of ITIL compliant helpdesk system with complete asset management cycle. | A single license software provides the flexibility to use the license as per their need either on helpdesk or on asset management features. Also these integrated solutions shared the common database so asset information can easily available at helpdesk system required for IT helpdesk tickets. | Refer to Corrigendum |
| | Volume II, 6.5.4.9. Service | | "Health of selected asset" meaning need to clarify here. Helpdesk system will use by the end user to open the tickets and respective user will be capable to view their assigned assets along with and inside the ticket. E.g. a user who raises a ticket for his desktop issue he will be able to view details of that desktop but health can view if that particular desktop being monitored. | As per RFP |
| | Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool Page 140 | Please confirm if the Service Desk / | Does monitoring of laptop/desktop kind of system required to monitor ? | As per RFP |
| 345. | | Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring tool have agent to view the 'Health of a selected asset' from within the ticket. | Should be rephrased As: It should be possible for agent to view the 'details of associated asset' from within the ticket. | As per RFP |
| | | | "Health of selected asset" meaning need to clarify here. Helpdesk system will use by the end user to open the tickets and respective user will be capable to view their assigned assets along with and inside the ticket. E.g a user who riases a ticket for his desktop issue he will be able to view details of that desktop but health can view if that particular desktop being monitored. | As per RFP. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| 346. | Volume II, Service Level Management / Monitoring | Please confirm if the Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring tool have the EMS is by far the most important requirement of the Integrated Project. This is on account of the fact that commitment of the projects to the citizens is dependent on an effective and continuous monitoring of the timelines within which citizens are served at the Portal or GSKs. In this context, the SLA Monitoring will have to possess the following capabilities: | Since SLA monitoring is dependent of the performance metrics collected by performance monitoring tools. There are no technical requirements of such kind of performance monitoring solution. Is there any provision for that please specify. | Bidder has to report on the SLA's and may choose a suitable methodology / tool. |
| 347. | 6.5.4.9. 139 | Solution should be open, distributed, and scalable and open to third party integration | Solution should be scalable and open to third party integration | Solution should be scalable and open to third party integration |
| 348. | 6.5.4.9. 140 | Incident/Problem Management -> o Flexibility of logging incidents via various means - web interface, client interface, phone | Flexibility of logging incidents via various means - web interface, Email, phone | To be read as: Incident/Problem Management - > o Flexibility of logging incidents via various means - web interface, email, phone |
| 349. | 119 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Availability & Required Cache | Cache should be mirrored between Active-Active controllers on dedicated, redundant paths / links between the controllers. In case of power failure, the SAN array must be provided with cache protection mechanism to ensure no loss of data in cache by de- staging to disks, irrespective of duration of power | Controllers shall be true active-active so that a single Logical unit can be shared by both controllers at the same time. Cache should be mirrored between Active-Active controllers on dedicated, redundant paths / links between the controllers. In case of power failure, the SAN array must be provided with cache protection mechanism to ensure no loss of data in cache by de- staging to disks, irrespective of duration of power outage, or for minimum | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| | | outage, or for minimum 72 hrs. The Proposed SAN Array should be configured with at least 8GB usable data cache. | 72 hrs. The Proposed SAN Array should be configured with at least 24GB usable data cache. We request Punjab Govt. to explicitly mention that by Active-Active controllers, it is expected that a single Logical unit can be shared by both controllers at the same time. Also, the cache memory asked in the RFP seems to be very less as there are Database and other servers which needs to be connected to storage. Request you to kindly increase to 24GB usable cache. | |
| 350. | 119 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Front End Connectivity | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 4 numbers of 4Gbps Fibre channel (FC) front end ports per controller (i.e. a total of at least 8 x 4Gbps FC front end ports across Dual controllers) for front-end host connectivity. | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 4 numbers of 4Gbps OR 2 numbers of 8Gbps Fibre channel (FC) front end ports per controller (i.e. a total of at least 8 x 4Gbps OR 4 x 8Gbps FC front end ports across Dual controllers) for front-end host connectivity. Currently 8Gbps is the latest technology. It is suggested to ask for latest technology while keeping same performance. | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 351. | 119 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Back End Connectivity | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 4 numbers of 4Gbps Fibre channel (FC) back end ports per controller (i.e. a total of at least 8 x 4Gbps FC back end ports across Dual controllers) for back-end disk-shelf connectivity. | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 8 numbers of 6Gbps SAS back end lanes per controller (i.e. a total of at least 16 x 6Gbps SAS back end lanes across Dual controllers) for back-end disk-shelf connectivity. The backend technology has changed from FC to SAS technology as the disk drives are also SAS based. Hence, it is requested to change the backend requirement from FC ports to SAS lanes. | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 4 numbers of 6Gbps SAS back end ports per controller (i.e. a total of at least 8 x 6Gbps SAS back end ports across Dual controllers) for back-end disk-shelf connectivity. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| 352. | 119 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Disk Drives | The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 140 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of FC & FATA/SATA-II Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support dual ported disks of 146GB, 300GB and 400GB or higher with speeds of 10K rpm & 15K rpm | The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 140 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of SSD/SAS/NL-SAS Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support 6Gbps dual-ported 300 / 450 / 900GB hot-pluggable Enterprise SAS hard drives, Minimum of 100 / 200GB SLC SSD Drives along with SAS MDL 2TB / 3TB drives. FC is no longer the disk technology used in backend for enterprise storage arrays. SAS has emerged as a better technology offering 6Gbps drives. Hence it is requested that the storage array must be asked with SAS drives and also SSD technology support with SLC type SSD drives which offer higher MTBF. | Modified as: The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 140 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of SAS / FC & NL-SAS / FATA/SATA-II Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support dual ported SAS disks of 146GB /200 GB / 300GB / 400GB or higher with speeds of 10K rpm & 15K rpm |
| 353. | 120 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Advance functions | The storage should be provided with thin provisioning feature quality of service and SSD caching or tiering software should be provided | Thin Provisioning | As per RFP |
| 354. | 120 / 6.5.4.7 / SAN Switch | Minimum 8 Active ports (each with minimum port speed 8Gbps) | Minimum 16 Active ports (each with minimum port speed 8Gbps).Please increase the no. of ports of external SAN Switches as Database Servers, Backup Servers, Tape Library, SAN Storage will connect to this. Plus there should be headroom as well. | Modified as: Minimum 16 Active ports (each with minimum port speed 8Gbps) |
| 355. | 120 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / IOPS | I/O performance should be greater than or at least equal to 150,000 IOPS from Cache and should have a sustained sequential throughput of minimum | Storage should support I/O performance of greater than or at least equal to 150,000 IOPS from Cache or 30,000 disk IOPS and should have a sustained sequential throughput of minimum 2000MB/sec (Read Only) or 1000MB/s (Write | Modified as: I/O performance should be greater than or at least equal to 150,000 IOPS from Cache or 30,000 disk IOPS and should have a sustained sequential throughput of minimum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|
| | | 2000MB/sec. | only).Kindly consider alternate performance numbers to allow more vendor participations and hence bringing more competitiveness to the bid. | 2000MB/sec |
| 356. | 6.5.4.6. San Storage, Pg 119, Back End Connectivity | SAN Storage should be configured with at least 4 numbers of 4Gbps Fibre channel (FC) back end ports per controller (i.e. a total of at least 8 x 4Gbps FC back end ports across Dual controllers) for back-end disk-shelf connectivity. | SAN Storage should be configured with 24 Gbps aggregate SAS Port bandwidth per controller (2 X 24 Gbps aggregate SAS port bandwidth across dual controller) for back-end disk-shelf connectivity. Aggregate Bandwidth defines the best way to accommodate all the OEM instead of defining the no of ports, FC back end connectivity has been replaced by Majority of OEM to SAS. | As per RFP & corrigendum |
| 357. | 6.5.4.6. San Storage, Pg 119, Back End Connectivity, Disk Drives | The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 140 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of FC & FATA/SATA-II Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support dual ported disks of 146GB, 300GB and 400GB or higher with speeds of 10K rpm & 15K rpm | The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 120 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of FC/SAS & NL-SAS/FATA/SATA-II Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support dual ported disks of 146GB and 300GB or higher with speeds of 10K rpm & 15K rpm. Please allow to have SAS disk also as SAS Disk are latest in Technology and offer 6Gbps Point to Point connectivity compare to FC 4 Gbps. | Modified as: The SAN Array should be able to support a minimum of 95 disks in the array supplied from Day One and should be expandable to 140 disks in future with data in place upgrade. The SAN Array should support intermixing of SAS / FC & NL-SAS / FATA/SATA-II Disks of various capacities and speeds. It should support dual ported SAS disks of 146GB /200 GB / 300GB / 400GB or higher with speeds of 10K rpm & 15K rpm |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| 358. | 120 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Advance functions | The storage should be provided with thin provisioning feature quality of service and SSD caching or tiering software should be provided | Thin Provisioning | The storage should be provided with thin provisioning feature quality of service, Expectation and specifications for Tiering and Storage Optimization and SSD caching or tiering software should be provided. |
| 359. | Various | Desktops and UPS | As per the bill of material for Desktops and UPSs to run these desktops, the state would spend significant amount on procurement and maintenance of UPS items instead would the state consider using Laptops and avoid a need for expensive UPS and battery of UPS? A ball park cost the state would spend on UPS would be Rs. 5-7 Cr and the same can be saved by using Laptops. This also reduces cost of using wired LAN if required. Some of key concerns relating to theft of laptop or people taking away laptops to home can be avoided by means of commercial solutions in secure wire locks. Also the OS can be configured to work only inside a permitted LAN and not to even boot up if it is not authenticated by LAN, thus avoiding unauthorised use of laptops at home etc. Please permit SI to bid Laptops instead of Desktops + UPS | As per RFP |
| 360. | 6.5.4.8. 121/158 | Hard Disk Drive & controller, HDD80 GB 7200 RPM 3.5" SATA Hard Drive | 500GB as minimum available is 500 GB only. | As per RFP |
| 361. | 6.5.4.8. 121/158 | Power Supply, 250 Watt ATX with Energy star 5.0 | Change to 200W since 200W is sufficient; it saves power as well as cost for the customer. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| 362. | 6.5.4.8. 121/158 | Keyboard, Spill-resistant keyboard (USB 104 keys keyboard) with bi-lingual keys (English and local language of the State/UT) compliant to Enhanced In- script Keyboard based on Unicode version 6.0 or later. | USB MM Keyboard with 104 or more keys with Bilingual support. Since this is the standard KB in the industry. | As per RFP |
| 363. | 6.5.4.8. 121/158 | Monitor, 17" LCD Monitor , Maximum resolution - 1366 x 768; Response time (typical)- 5ms ; TCO 5 certification for Monitor; | 18.5" Wide TFT with TCO 05 certification since it is more convenient and cost effective. | Modified as: Monitor, 18.5" LCD Monitor , Maximum resolution - 1366 x 768; Response time (typical)- 5ms ; TCO 5 certification for Monitor; |
| 364. | 6.5.4.11. 123 | Memory :64 MB Memory : 32 MB Min. (Both are mentioned.) | We request you to kindly change Minimum memory to 64 MB because higher memory for segment -3 level printers should be provided for easy and faster printing. | Typo error please read as Memory :32 MB |
| 365. | 6.5.4.11. 123 | Processor speed not mentioned | We request you to kindly add processor speed @300 Mhz., because higher memory for segment -2 level printer should be provided for easy and faster printing | Processor speed sufficient to achieve 25 PPM print speed |
| 366. | Page 126 | HIPS Specifications Should be supported on multiple operating systems: Microsoft Windows, Solaris , Red Hat Enterprise & Suse Linux, etc | Since the desktop OS defined in the other section as Microsoft windows request you to kindly delete the other OS mentioned here. Hope we need to supply the HIPS Solution for the Windows OS Only | As per RFP |
| 367. | Page 139 of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II | Bidder should quote any Standard / open EMS Software for monitoring application, services, & SLA along with | EMS is a set of tools which will cut across the entire e- District infrastructure & capture all the critical & sensitive data related to the citizens of the state. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|
| | | Helpdesk tool for E-district Users along with all the necessary Hardware, DB, OS, patches, etc. | Would the state prefer getting an open EMS technology tool deployed for monitoring & managing this critical set- up knowing that an open / non Industry standard EMS is completely non-reliable, easy to tamper with & highly prone to security breaches & external threats. | As per RFP |
| | | | An open EMS tool may also have no reliable OEM support. | As per RFP |
| | | | An open EMS may also not able to achive this requirment written at page 140 of the RFP "For integrations with other EMS/NMS tools, various options for integration should be provided - APIs, web services, SDKs." Kindly confirm that the state seeks an industry standard EMS (recognized by Gartner, Forreser, Bloor group etc) only. | As per RFP |
| 368. | Page 139 of "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" | Solution should be open, distributed, and scalable and open to third party integration. | Overall EMS solution design & its respective hardware sizing should be as per OEM guidelines to support scalability of solution. Kindly acknowledge | As per RFP |
| | Ref Doc: Volume_II 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & | For integrations with other EMS/NMS tools, various options for integration | 1) List of servers to be monitor for performance and utilization | Existing and proposed Servers by the Bidder |
| 200 | SLA Monitoring Tool Page no | should be provided - APIs, web services, | 2) This list of the services which are to be monitor. | All the services covered under eDistrict Project. |
| 369. | 141 | SDKs. | 3)List of network devices (switch, Router etc.) to be manage | As per RFP. |
| | | | 4)Threshold level measurements required to set SLA | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|------------|
| | | | 5) Do you have any existing NMS tool for Network Management? If so please provide the details. If u don't have any existing NMS than we will have to implement Spectrum and ehealth for NMS | As per RFP |
| 370. | Volume II. ,Page 139 to 142: 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool | Please confirm if the EMS & NMS softwares solution have bundled databases for storing informations. | Solution should have bundled database from the same vendor who has NMS & EMS software. This helps customer to get all the out of the box integrations and support from the same vendor support team. | As per RFP |
| | General | Please confirm if EMS system needs to propose in High Availability mode or | Does EMS system need to propose in High Availability mode or standalone mode? | As per RFP |
| 371. | | standalone mode. | Does EMS system need to procure for DR site? | As per RFP |
| 0,11 | | | Does DR site need to monitor over the WAN even through if separate EMS not required there t local DR site? | As per RFP |
| 372. | 4.4.3. Annual Technical Support, pg 78, Vol 2 | Updates / Upgrades / New releases / New versions / Patches / Bug fixes: The SI shall provide from time to time the Updates / Upgrades / New releases / New versions / Patches / Bug fixes of the software, operating systems, etc. as required. The SI should provide free Updates / Upgrades / New releases / New versions / Patches / Bug fixes of the software and tools to PSeGS as and when released by OEM. | We understand a feasibility of upgrades to new releases/versions will be carried out and upgrade will be carried out only where considered feasible. Can you confirm the understanding? | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| 373. | Volume II.,Page 124 to 125: - 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS | Please confirm if the IPS deployable in the following modes: Passive or IDS mode, Inline Protection Inline Simulation | In the RFP it is asked for Firewall with an integrated IPS. As per the industry best practice Its recommended to change it from a integrated IPS in a firewall to a stand alone firewall and stand alone IPS. Hence would request you please consider Firewall and IPS from separate OEM and stand alone solution which provide capability to work together. | Bidders are free to quote the Firewall Appliance with integrated IPS functionality or an external IPS solution which meets the specifications |
| 374. | Volume II.,Page 124 to 125: - 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS | Please confirm if the IPS detect and block all known, high risk exploits along with their underlying vulnerability (not just one exploit of that vulnerability). | All Major Data centers should have a layered approach. So it is always recommended to have a stand alone Firewall and Standalone IPS. Hence would request you please consider Firewall and IPS from separate OEM and stand alone solution which provide capability to work together. | Bidders are free to quote the Firewall Appliance with integrated IPS functionality or an external IPS solution which meets the specifications |
| 375. | Volume II.,Page 124 to 125: - 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS | Please confirm if the IPS detect and block zero-day attacks without requiring an update. | Firewall works on Layer 3 where as IPS should work on Layer 2 like a switch which monitors and analyzes traffic seamlessly and promiscuously. Hence would request you please consider Firewall and IPS from separate OEM and stand alone solution which provide capability to work together. | Bidders are free to quote the Firewall Appliance with integrated IPS functionality or an external IPS solution which meets the specifications |
| 376. | 115 / 6.5.4.1 / Database Server / Server Height | Standard 4U / 2U (Rack mount) | Maximum 8U.Considering the scalability requirements we request to kindly change the maximum rack space of the server to 8U. | As per RFP |
| 377. | 137 / 6.5.4.7 / Rack | Minimum Powder quoted steel Body with front door of glass. | Minimum Powder quoted steel Body with perforated front door. Kindly remove glass door from the specifications as not all vendors provide front glass door racks | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| 378. | 118 / 6.5.4.5 / Tape Library / No.of Slots | 12 slots and expandable up to 24 slots by adding modules or cascading | The tape library should be configured with 48 slots.12 slots library will be a very entry level library considering the scalability desired on the storage level. It is of advantage that the government asks for a 48 slots apable library. | We have specified minimum requirements bidders can always quote products with better / higher configurations. |
| 379. | Section 6.5.4.6/Finger Print reader | Resolution :512 PPI / DPI | Resolution : 500 PPI (The FBI standard is 500ppi. Compliace to AFIS standard (last line of the compliance: Other specification - Should comply to AFIS/DIT standards) also requires 500 ppi). | Modified as: Minimum 500 PPI / DPI or more |
| 380. | Section 6.5.4.6/Finger Print reader | Operating Temp:0 – 50 Degree C | Operating Temp: 0 – 55 Degree C. We request to change the operating temperature to 0-55 degree, keeping in mind the ambient environmental conditions required for operation of Biometric device. | As per RFP |
| 381. | 6.5.4.9 121/158 | Processor, Intel Pentium or AMD APU; Dual Core Processor; min 2.0 GHz | Core i3 2348, since the Pentium Processor is an entry level processor, users may face difficulty in up gradation later on. | As per RFP |
| 382. | 6.5.4.9 121/158 | Hard Drive, Primary Storage Options320GB 7200RPM SATA Hard Drive (Parks & protects HDD against system drops) | Primary Storage Options320GB 7200RPM SATA Hard Drive since Park and protects HDD against system drop is a patent technology. | As per RFP. |
| 383. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Display, Display15.0" High Definition Wide LED Anti-Glare Display (1366x 768) | Display 14.0" High Definition Wide LED Anti-Glare Display (1366x 768), since 15.0" is not available in the Industry and 14" is the commonly used size of the TFT with lesser weight. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| 384. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Audio/Visual, SRS Premium Sound [™] Integrated stereo speakers Integrated microphone (dual microphone array when equipped with optional HD webcam) Stereo headphone/line out /Stereo microphone in Optional 720p HD webcam | SRS Premium Sound to be removed since it's a patent term. | As per RFP. |
| 385. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Communications, Gigabit Ethernet network; WWAN 3G supported(optional) | Gigabit Ethernet network only since 3G is generally used in Tablet PCs. | As per RFP |
| 386. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Keyboard, Spill-resistant keyboard; min 86 keys keyboard with bilingual keys (English and State/UT's local language) | with Multi gesture touch pad with 2.5 MM travel distance between the keys. | As per RFP |
| 387. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Interfaces /Ports, Media Card Reader - One (1) VGA - One(1) HDMI- One(1) Stereo microphone in -One(1) Stereo headphone/line out -One(1) Power connector -One(1) RJ-45/Ethernet - One(1) USB 2.0- Four(4)LED status indicators- Nine(9) | USB 2.0- 3 ports and USB 3.0- 1 port with power off charging for better and faster data transfer. LED Status Indicator to be removed since many OEMs may not participate. | As per RFP |
| 388. | 6.5.4.9 122/158 | Office suite, Preloaded productivity suite such as MS Office | Please specify the version of MS office since the cost variation is high in all the versions. | Latest Version of MS office Professional available at the time of Supply. |
| 389. | | Security Features | Asset Management, Asset Monitoring, Bios protection, Remote Management To be included | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------|
| 390. | 135/ Router | Should have support 70 Kpps of performance with ACL+ QoS and NAT enabled for both IPv4 & IPv6 | Router should have atleast 120 KPPS performance for providing better throughput with ACL's , NAT, QOS etc. Kindly modify clause as "Should have support 120 Kpps of performance with ACL+ QoS and NAT enabled for both IPv4 & IPv6" | As per RFP |
| | | | Please amend this to: 1.) Router should have performance of 180 Kpps or more | As per RFP |
| | | Should have support 70 Kpps of | 2.) Router should be able to sustain performance for 15 Mbps or more bandwidth with enabling the concurrent services like ACL, QoS, NAT etc" | As per RFP |
| 391. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Architecture, Point d), Page 136 | performance with ACL+ QoS and NAT enabled for both IPv4 & IPv6 | Please note you have asked the routing performance along with services like ACL, QOS and NAT, so would like to highlight that there is no RFC standard to measure PPS rating with different services. These figures are mentioned purely by specific vendor with no reference standards and standards based testing. | As per RFP |
| | | | Further ACL , QOS and NAT entries are quantified in numbers i.e. Number of ACLs, No of QOS policies and Number of NAT entries which are not mentioned in RFP | As per RFP |
| | | | Please include important parameters like IPSEC , FW and GRE in performance calculations. These services should be asked in terms of througWeut that is Mbps not in PPS. | As per RFP |
| | | | Analogy for why services cannot be measured on PPS figures. | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|------------|
| | | | Security services are measured at large packet size whereas routing performance is measured for standard packet size as per RFC 2544. Hence if you ask 70 Kpps rating with services, it will imply bandwidth of more than 800 Mbps which would not be required as per the E- District functional requirement drafted for Horizontal locations in RFP. | As per RFP |
| | | | Please refer calculation: 70000 (70Kpps)*1500 (byte size)*8 (Converting in to bit)/ 1000000 (for Mbps) = 840 Mbps. | As per RFP |
| | | | Our recommendation is to ask the routing performance as per the RFC 2544 i.e. PPS figures and ask sustainable performance bandwidth with enabling the concurrent services like ACL, QoS, NAT etc. | As per RFP |
| | | | Please amend this to : 2 x 10/100/1000 Base WAN interface and 2X 10/100/1000 Base LAN Interface | As per RFP |
| 392. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Interface, Point a), Page 136 | 4 x 10/100 Base interface with support for both LAN & WAN protocols | Please note that Gigabit Ethernet is becoming more and more popular. The accelerating growth of traffic is pushing network administrators to look to higher-speed network technologies to solve the bandwidth crunch. As all the switches asked at Horizontal locations are Gigabit Ethernet only, so to fully utilize the investment and sustain the performance at BHQ, the router port speed should be also at Gigabit Ethernet. Following are some of the other advantages of Gigabit Ethernet port which should be considered : | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|---|--------------------------|
| | | | a. Gigabit Ethernet ports will allow easy, straightforward migration to higher performance levels without disruption b. Low cost of ownership—including both purchase cost and support cost c. Capability to support new applications and data types d. Network design flexibility e. Multigigabit fabric (MGF) which enables the Gigabit Ethernet ports allows efficient module-to-module communication, enabling tighter services interactions across modules while reducing the overhead on the route processor. | |
| 393. | 6.5.4.5. Router , Performance, Point c), Page 136 | Should support 2G/ 3G USB modem for connectivity or support external 3G modem | Please amend this to : Should support 2G/3G connectivity for both HSPA and EVDO standards through infernally or externally With the increasing acceptance of Wireless WAN connectivity, all models of Router quoted should be able to support at minimum one 3G (CDMA or GSM) connection. Based on availability of Technology and Service Provider, Department shall have the choice of deploying CDMA or GSM. So you are requested to include the provision of internal module in router. | As per RFP As per RFP |
| 394. | 6.5.4.5. Router ,QOS Features, Page 136 | Quality of Service (QoS) requirements | Addition Request: Should support hierarchical QoS for voice and video. Hierarchical QoS will enable the ability to provide multiple levels of packet scheduling and support for integrated class-based shaping and queuing. And will also provision fair queuing and drop policies on a per-class basis | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|---|--|------------|
| 395. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Security Features, Page 136 | Security features | Router should be able to support software based content filtering capabilities Similarly as a first layer of defense of local users it important for all branch routers to support the content filtering to protects your WAN links and critical network infrastructure from web-based threats. Content filtering helps organizations improve employee productivity and protect users from malware, adware, spyware, and phishing. | As per RFP |
| 396. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Security Features, Page 136 | VPN Requirement | Ability to set up secure tunnels to a Hub or between individual sites themselves where the ISP provides for a dynamically generated IP address. Such secure tunnels should be able to carry unicast and multicast IP based traffic as also should support dynamic routing protocols. Such a dynamic establishment of VPNs ensures that the configuration & management of these IPSEC VPNs becomes easier and is able to overcome topology changes much more effectively and efficiently + providing high fault tolerance. The router should support also support tunnel-less VPN connectivity with multicast support on same. Since Service Providers may provide for a Dynamic IP address to the 3G interface, the router should have ability to create dynamic VPN tunnels. Further native IPsec supports only IP Unicast. Multicast traffic (between hub and spokes) provides efficient and scalable distribution of one-to-many and many-to-many traffic. IP Multicast id | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| 397. | 6.5.4.5. Router, Security Features, Page 136 | Security features | required for efficient and scalable one-to-many (i.e., Internet broadcast) and many-to-many (i.e., conferencing) communications, and commonly needed by voice, video, and certain data applications The router should support Standard ACL, Extended ACL. It should be possible to create Department's own Layer 2 to Layer 7 stateless packet classification criteria (upto bit level for the header and payload) and to define policies with multiple actions (such as drop, log, or send defined ICMP packets) to immediately block new viruses, worms, and attacks during a vulnerability window and link them to an ACL, ACL that can match arbitrary bits of packet bits of a packet at an arbitrary depth in the packet header and payload This is flexible packet matching technology, where you can block traffic at bit level. So incase there is new virus attack at branch , so can still block it and protect it to be distributed to other location and data centers. | As per RFP |
| 398. | Vol 2, Router specs | Should have minimum of 256MB of RAM and 32 MB of Flash Memory | Should have minimum of 256MB of RAM and 512 MB of Flash Memory | As per RFP |
| 399. | Vol 2, Router specs | 10/100/1000 Ethernet Base interfaces | min 2 x 10/100/1000 Ethernet Base on board interfaces | As per RFP |
| 400. | Vol 2, Router specs | h) EAL certification | pls. change the same to EAL3 certified | As per RFP |
| 401. | page 136 | Should have minimum of 256MB of RAM and 32 MB of Flash Memory | Should have minimum of 256MB of RAM and 512 MB of Flash Memory | We have specified minimum requirements bidders can always quote products with better / |

Page **129** of **142**

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | 32 MB flash is very less . To store multiple image and config files, we suggest to increase the flash memory to 512MB. | higher configurations, as per the requirements of this RFP. |
| 402. | page 136 | 10/100/1000 Ethernet Base interfaces | min 2 x 10/100/1000 Ethernet Base on board interfaces To integrate with a high performance 1 Gig LAN at the branch, we sugest the router must support min 2x 1Gig onboard interfaces, which will provision high speed integration with the branch LAN. | As per RFP |
| 403. | 119 / 6.5.4.6 / SAN Storage / Volume expansion | The storage system should support dynamic online LUN/volume expansion and shrink through striping/ concatenation Or any equivalent means / feature, which can achieve similar functionality with supporting whitepaper validation documents from third party agencies | As there is already a requirement of Thin Provisioning which helps in disk capacity savings and efficient use of storage, there seems to be no need to include this clause. Request for deletion of volume shrinking. | as per RFP |
| 404. | "RFP_eDistrict_State_Wide_R oll_Out_Punjab_Volume_II" 1kVA UPS | Inverter Overload | in Mains Mode 100%-110% Over load Warning, 110%- 130% Transfer to Bypass after 10 Sec | Refer to corrigendum |
| 405. | | Backup Time 30 min VAH 936 | 30 min. Minimum 2496VAH30 min. Minimum 2496VAH | Refer to corrigendum |
| 406. | | Inverter Overload | in Mains Mode 100%-110% Over load Warning, 110%- 130% Transfer to Bypass after 10 Sec | Refer to corrigendum |
| 407. | | Inverter Overload | in Mains mode >= 110% 10min、 >125% : 1min in Mains mode >= 110% 10min、 >125% : 1min | Refer to corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|----------------------|
| 408. | | Rated Voltage 36 VDC | 240VDC | Refer to corrigendum |
| 409. | | Backup Time 60 min 1512 VAH; | 60 min. VAH 8000VAH.60 min. VAH 8000VAH. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 410. | | Protection Inbuilt protection for surge suppression and EMI/RFI filter provided as well as The unit shall have Surge Current Capacity of min. 10kA with two mode of protection &<0.5 ns Response time. UPS shall be provided with only externally connected SPD as per IEEE Standard 1100-2005Inbuilt protection for surge suppression and EMI/RFI filter provided as well as The unit shall have Surge Current Capacity of min. 10kA with two mode of protection &<0.5 ns Response time. UPS shall be provided with only externally connected SPD as per IEEE Standard 1100-2005 | Inbuilt protection for surge suppression and EMI/RFI filter provided as well as The unit shall have Surge Current Capacity of min. 25kA with two mode of protection &<0.5 ns Response time. UPS shall be provided with only externally connected SPD as per IEEE Standard 1100- 2005Inbuilt protection for surge suppression and EMI/RFI filter provided as well as The unit shall have Surge Current Capacity of min. 25kA with two mode of protection &<0.5 ns Response time. UPS shall be provided with only externally connected SPD as per IEEE Standard 1100-2005 | Refer to corrigendum |
| 411. | | Power Outlet Should have programmable power management outlet for independent control of load segment. | as single Terminal Block for taking Output to Output MCB | Refer to corrigendum |
| 412. | 6.5.4.1, 127 | Voltage Window: 160 VAC – 300 VAC @ 100% Load, 110 VAC – 300 VAC @ 50% Load | This voltage window is applicable for 1-3 KVA's i.e small ratings. For Ratings >=5 KVA the window available with various manufacturers is (160) VAC – (270) VAC @ 100% Load, 120 VAC – 270 VAC @ 50% Load. Request for necessary amendments. | Refer to corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|----------------------|
| 413. | 6.5.4.1, 127 | Frequency Range 40-70 Hz | Even Power Grid fails below 48 Hz, please accept frequency range of 46 - 54 Hz. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 414. | 6.5.4.1, 128 | Rated Voltage: 36 VDC. | 36 VDC seems to be a typo error as 5 KVA UPS are available with 180 / 192 / 240 VDC (varies from manufacturer to manufacturer), please amend to > 180 VDC. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 415. | 6.5.4.1, 128 | Back-up Time: 60 min 1512 VAH | 1512 VAH seems to be a typo error as 5 KVA UPS should be provided minimum 8000 VAH to give 60 minutes back- up. Please do the necessary changes. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 416. | 6.5.4.1, 128 | The unit shall have Surge Current Capacity of min. 10kA with two mode of protection &<0.5 ns Response time. UPS shall be provided with only externally connected SPD as per IEEE Standard 1100-2005 | UPS systems offered are Online type and will take care of all types of power problems. Please confirm if this item is specifically required. Please confirm 3-4 makes of OEM's who can supply this item. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 417. | 6.5.4.1, 128 | Noise | 5 KVA UPS available with all manufacturer comply to Noise less than 55dB at 1 meter, pls do the necessary changes. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 418. | 6.5.4.1, 128 | Power Outlet | 5 KVA UPS Units have hardwired outputs and not individual outputs, programmable power management outlet is not applicable. Please do the necessary changes. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 419. | 6.5.4.2, 129 | Frequency Range 40-70 Hz | Even Power Grid fails below 48 Hz, please accept frequency range of 46 - 54 Hz. | Refer to corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| 420. | 6.5.4.2, 129 | 36 VDC | 36 VDC seems to be a typo error as 3 KVA UPS are available with >=96 VDC (varies from manufacturer to manufacturer), please do the necessary amendments | Refer to corrigendum |
| 421. | 6.5.4.2, 130 | Power Outlet | 3 KVA UPS Units have hardwired outputs, programmable power management outlet is not applicable. Please do the necessary changes. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 422. | 6.5.4.2, 130 | ECO Mode | ECO Mode facility is not applicable in small rating UPS Units like 3 KVA as there is no substantial energy savings in a 3 KVA. In addition power conditions in India are very dynamic & it is practically not possible for operating a 3 KVA UPS in ECO Mode. | Refer to corrigendum |
| 423. | 132/24 port switch | Should have at-least 10K MACs & 1000 active VLANs | As per industry standards, Layer 2 switch comes with 8K MAC and 256 Active VLAn's. Kindly modify clause as "Should have at-least 8K MACs & 256 active VLANs | Modified as: Should have at-least 8K MACs & 255 active VLANs |
| 424. | 132/24 port switch | EAL certification | EAL cortication is OS security certification generally asked on routers which interface outside world. Kindly remove EAL requirement from switch for level playing of all OEM's | Refer to corrigendum |
| 425. | 134/16 port switch | Should IEEE 802.1p based QOS, SP - Strict priority, WRR weighted round robin | Different OEM's use different QOS techniques like DSCP, WRR, SP etc. Kindly modify the clause for allow other oem's to partipate. Should IEEE 802.1p based QOS, DSCP / SP - Strict priority/ WRR weighted round robin or equivalent | We are not looking for any specific / proprietary technology. Bidder can offer similar / equivalent features. IEEE 802.1p based QOS, DSCP / SP - Strict priority/ WRR weighted round robin or equivalent |
| 426. | 135/ 8 port switch | Shall support IPv6 ready features & support dual stack of IPv6 / Ipv4 | Kindly modify clause "Shall support IPv6 ready features" | As per RFP |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| 427. | 6.5.4.5. Router , Interface, Point b), Page 136 | At least 1 free slots for future (slot should support both · V.35 (2 Mbps) interface including necessary cables , | Please amend this to : At least 1 free slots for future and should support. This module should support 4 port or higher GigaEthernet ports with POE and NON-POE, Gigabit Ethernet SFP Port, E1 Ports, Serial Port (V.35) and ISDN BRI ports | As per RFP |
| 428. | | 10/100/1000 Ethernet Base interfaces. | As you are keeping one free slot for future expansion, we would request you to consider much wider type of interfaces so that you should have flexibility of adopting different solution as per the requirement. Interfaces like GIgaEthernet port with POE capability would help you to deploy solution like IP Telephony, Video surveillance or wireless. | As per RFP |
| 429. | Section 6.5.4.2. 24 port switch, Page 132 -133 | EAL Certification | Please amend this to : Product should be EAL certified or ERTL or should be applied for approved Protection Profile for that product category at http://www.niap-ccevs.org/ . And Protection profile validation should be available before commissioning of project. In reference to your requirement of EAL Certifications in Routers and Switches, the policy has been changed by the Certification Agency in Late 2011. In late 2011 the US Scheme, NIAP/CCEVS, established a new policy regarding how evaluations will be conducted to ensure achievable, repeatable, and testable evaluation results. Under this policy, Vendors will no longer be able to draft a custom Security Target (ST) specifying the set of security functions and evaluation assurance level (EAL) of their choosing. All products requiring an evaluation will be | Refer to RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|---|----------|
| | | | required to claim compliance to an approved Protection | |
| | | | Profile (PP). To learn more, please see http://www.niap- | |
| | | | ccevs.org/ | |
| | | | A Protection Profile defines the product type and the | |
| | | | security functions the product must meet. The PPs will | |
| | | | not identify a prescribed assurance level. Instead, the PPs | |
| | | | will include the prescribed assurance measures and test | |
| | | | requirements to support repeatable results. | |
| | | | As a responsible OEM, we have accordingly getting our | |
| | | | latest products certified as per Protection Profile and | |
| | | | accordingly EAL Certification on products are no longer | |
| | | | valid. As per RFP mentions that all products proposed | |
| | | | should not be declared End of Sale for two years, we are | |
| | | | proposing only Latest products which are under process of | |
| | | | Certification on Protection profile. | |
| | | | So you are request to amend and asked the product | |
| | | | should be EAL certified or should be applied for approved | |
| | | | Protection Profile for that product category at | |
| | | | http://www.niap-ccevs.org/ . And Protection profile | |
| | | | validation should be available before commissioning of | |
| | | | project. This woudl ensure that Govt of Punjab gets latest | |
| | | | product from OEM as well as security certifications as per | |
| | | | the latest agreed standards of Certification which is | |
| | | | recognized globally. | |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| 430. | Section 6.5.4.3. Layer 2 16 port Fast Ethernet Managed, Page 133-134 | Switch shall support minimum of 16 port 10/100/1000 ports | Please clarify are you asking for 16 port Fe or GE switch? Please clarify If the asked switch is GiagEthernet or Fast Ethernet? | 16 * 10/100/1000 ports |
| 431. | Section 6.5.4.3. Layer 2 16 port Fast Ethernet Managed, Page 133-134 | Access control list with IP based ACL, MAC Based ACL | Please remove this requirement Please note ACL would not be required at the switch end and this can be handled by routers. So please remove the same. | Refer to Corrigendum |
| 432. | Section 6.5.4.3. Layer 2 16 port Fast Ethernet Managed, Page 133-134 | EAL certification | Please amend this to : Product should be EAL certified or ERTL or should be applied for approved Protection Profile for that product category at http://www.niap-ccevs.org/ . And Protection profile validation should be available before commissioning of project. | Refer to Corrigendum |
| 433. | RFP Voll II, Pg No. 133, 6.5.4.3: -16 Port Switch | IGMP v1/v2/v3 | It should be IGMP v1/v/2 and IGMP v3 awareness | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 434. | RFP Voll II, Pg No. 134, 6.5.4.3: -16 Port Switch | DNS over IPv6 | Request to please remove this clause. DNS is required for L3 device in case of internet acccess | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 435. | page 132 | 24 * 10/100/1000 Mbps port | 24 * 10/100/1000 Mbps port | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 436. | | Uplink Port: should have 4 SFP Based uplink ports | additional dedicated Uplink Ports: should have 4 SFP Based uplink ports To have compete user port density for access , uplink ports | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | are sggested to be separate than the user ports. We asssume the same is also specified here. | |
| 437. | | Request for Addition | support for basic L2+ capabilities including static routing , RIPv1,v2 from day 1 and scalable to OSPF in future To reduce the VLAN traffic load on the core infrastructure , we suggest switch should also support basic L2+ capabilities so as to restrict the intervlan traffic restricted locally only. | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 438. | 135/ 8 port switch | IPv6 features: | IPv4/IPv6 Dual Protocol Stack, DNS over IPv6 are layer 3 features. Kindly remove and modify the clause for better understanding. Kindly Modify clause as | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 439. | | Request for addition | Should support stacking To ensure the hardware level redundancy, it is suggested that the switch should support stacking functionality | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 440. | page 133 | Should support 12.8 Gbps switching fabric | Should support 32 Gbps switching fabric | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 441. | | Should support minimum 6.6 Mpps forwarding rate | Should support minimum 24 Mpps forwarding rate We assume that wired speed and non blocking architecture is considered for all the switch positioning as also considered for 24 port switch. To achive the non blocking & wired rate forwarding , 16 Gig port switch should have 32Gbps and 24 Mpps forwarding rate as per industry standard calculations (1Gbps=1.488 Mpps) | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| 442. | page 133 | 1K VLAN IDs | 1K concurrent configurable vlans As also asked for the 24 port switch , 1K configurable vlas are suggested so as to provision effective 1000 vlans for the overall network.Pls. consider | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 443. | page 134 | IPv4/IPv6 Dual Protocol Stack. SNMP over IPv6 | Pls. remove this clause | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 444. | | · HTTP over IPv6 | Dual stack is a feature of router / firewall device which are deployed at the perimeter layer. This may not be applicable for the access switch. | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 445. | page 134 | IPv6 Unicast / Multicast Address Types | IPv6 Unicast / Multicast Address Types with support for IPv6 static routing, RIPng, OSPFv3 etc . | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 446. | | | Pls. clarify if the switch required to support IPv4 and IPv6 protocols including ospf, ospfv3, rip, RIPng etc. This will help the vendor to position the right product model and avoid any unnecessary cost implications | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 447. | page 134 | Shall have 1 fixed 1000 T Ports shared with 1 Mini GBIC ports. | Shall have min 2 fixed 1000 base-X SFP ports To have uplink redundancy at each location and , min 2 SFP ports are recommended. Pls. consider to avoid single | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | point of failure | |
| 448. | page 135 | Switch shall support a backplane bandwidth of 5.6 Gbps. | Switch shall support a non blocking backplane bandwidth of 16 Gbps and min 12 Mpps forwarding rate. We assume that wired speed and non blocking architecture is considered for all the switch positioning as also considered for 24 port switch. To achive the non blocking & wired rate forwarding , 8 Gig port switch should have 16Gbps and 12 Mpps forwarding rate as per industry standard calculations (1Gbps=1.488 Mpps) | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 449. | page 135 | Shall support 255 VLAN | Shall support 1000 VLAN | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 450. | | | To maintain a uniform effective vlan provisioning and scalability all 24 , 16 and 8 port switches should have same concurrent vlans throughout the network . Pls. consider | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 451. | | Request for Addition | support for basic L2+ capabilities including static routing , RIPv1,v2 from day 1 and scalable to OSPF in future To reduce the VLAN traffic load on the core infrastructure , we suggest switch should also support basic L2+ capabilities so as to restrict the intervlan traffic restricted locally only. | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 452. | page 135 | Shall support IPv6 ready features & support dual stack of IPv6 / Ipv4 | Switch should support IPv6 management. Dual stack is a feature of router / firewall device which are deployed at the perimeter layer. Also, other IPv6 features may not be applicable for the access switch.Pls. consider and amend the clause as requested | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 453. | page 135 | IPv6 Unicast / Multicast Address Types | IPv6 Unicast / Multicast Address Types with support for IPv6 static routing, RIPng, OSPFv3 etc . | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| 454. | | | Pls. clarify if the switch required to support IPv4 and IPv6 protocols including ospf, ospfv3, rip, RIPng etc. This will help the vendor to position the right product model and avoid any unnecessary cost implications | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 455. | page 135 | EAL certification | Pls. remove this clause Most of the leading OEM's do not have EAL certification for 8 port Access switch | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 456. | | Request for addition | Switch should support basic L2+ capabilities including static routing , Ripv1,v2 and should be scalable to OSPF Basic L2+ capabilities will ensure that the inter vlan traffic will be managed locally and avoid unnecessary traffic towards the core. | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 457. | 6.5.4.14, 127 | Solution should be minimum of EAL certified | Suggest to consider EAL 4+ certified solutions | As per RFP |
| 458. | 134/ 16 port switch | IPv6 features: | IPv4/IPv6 Dual Protocol Stack , DNS over IPv6 are layer 3 features. Kindly remove and modify the calsue for better understanding. Kindly Modify clause as | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| 459. | 134/ 16 port switch | IPv4/IPv6 Dual Protocol Stack | "IPv6 features: | The proposed switches should be as per DIT Guidelines http://deity.gov.in/content/internet- governance. The network has to be IP v6 ready or migration to IP v6 should not be problem. |
| | | IPv6 Unicast / Multicast Address Types | IPv6 host | As per RFP & Corrigendum |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|
| | | SNMP over IPv6 | – IPv6 static routes | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| | | HTTP over IPv6 | – MLD snooping | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| | | DNS over IPv6 | – supports ACL and QoS for IPv6" | As per RFP & Corrigendum |
| 460. | 6.5.4.13. Internal Firewall with IPS, Page 124 | Latency : Firewall Latency (64 byte UDP packets) should be 6 µs | Please remove this clause | As per RFP |
| 461. | Volume II. ,Page 139 to 142: 6.5.4.9. Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool | Service Desk / Helpdesk & SLA Monitoring Tool should have patch management system which has capability to manage desktops and servers from same common console. | For the ease of administrator and time saving prospective all patch management work should be from same console. | As per RFP |
| 462. | SAN Storage | | The storage should be provided with thin provisioning feature. Offered storage array shall be supplied with Thin provisioning and automatic Thin Re-claim to make the volume thin again. Thin Re-claim inside storage shall not cause any overloading of Storage CPU and shall be able to claim the Zero pages even during peak load without any performance impact. Tiering Offered storage shall support dynamic migration of Volume from one Raid set to another set while keeping the application online. For effective data tiering, Storage subsystem shall support | The storage should be provided with thin provisioning feature quality of service, Expectation and specifications for Tiering and Storage Optimization and SSD caching or tiering software should be provided. |

| S. No. | RFP Document (Section & Page Number(s)) | Content of RFP requiring Clarification(s) | Points of clarification | Response |
|-----------|--|---|--|----------|
| | | | automatically Policy based Sub-Lun Data Migration from | |
| | | | one Set of drive Tier to another set of drive tier. | |
| | | | The current specs have very generic definition of Thin | |
| | | | Provisioning and does not clearly askfor expectations. | |
| | | | We request you to include these points which are | |
| | | | benefitial for the government as they protect you from | |
| | | | licensing and performance related issues which might | |
| | | | come up at a later point of time. | |
| | | | Thin Provisioning and Thin Reclaim expectations around | |
| | | | functionality and performance must be included. | |
| | | | Expectation and specifications for Tiering and Storage | |
| | | | Optimization must be included. | |
